

Lanthanoid contraction in chelates of ethylenediaminetetraacetic acid

Daisuke Noguchi

*Division of Education and Research Support, Graduate School of Engineering, Nagasaki University,
Bunkyo-machi 1-14, Nagasaki 8528521, Japan*

a.chemist.noguchi.d@gmail.com

While lanthanoid contraction has been extensively studied, the knowledge on the complexes of EDTA, a commonly used ligand, remains inadequate. Thus, this study presents a comprehensive analysis based on data from the CCDC. In mononuclear EDTA complexes, systematic lanthanoid contraction depending on their coordination number are obtained. However, in multinuclear or coordination polymer complexes, a greater atomic bond length variation occurs, uncommon for lanthanoid contraction studies in previous research. This suggests the versatility of EDTA as a ligand.

Keywords: *coordination compound, f-block, rare-earth element, polyaminocarboxylic acid.*

Introduction

The compounds of lanthanoid have been the extensive subject aimed at elucidating their characteristics, namely electronic, magnetic, and optical properties, besides other aspects such as applications in the catalysts of organic syntheses and the agents in biomedical engineering [1-3]. In lanthanoid chemistry, a key concept called ‘lanthanoid contraction’ refers to the gradual decrease in ionic radii as the atomic number of the lanthanoid series increases [4-6]. The cases of lanthanoid contraction were first studied in simple binary compounds [7]. Subsequently, renewed interest led to further studies of several families of coordination compounds in the solid state, and it was found that lanthanoid contraction reduces the coordination numbers for some ligands [8-10]. Moreover, such knowledge of

the lanthanoid contraction in the well-characterized complexes has led to the development of a novel phenomenon, ‘switching photochromism’, in coordination polymers [11]. Nevertheless, the series whose lanthanoid contraction clarified doesn’t cover all the existing complexes with various ligands.

As one of the most prominent chelators, ethylenediaminetetraacetic acid (EDTA; see Figure 1) is of great interest not only in chemical analysis, but also in the advancement of




Figure 1. A chemical structure of $(\text{EDTA}-4\text{H})^{4-}$ representing the tetravalent anion where four hydrogen atoms are deprotonated from EDTA molecule.

materials science. One example of its potential applications involves gas storage and separation in metal-organic frameworks (MOFs) [12]. This is due to its ability to form stable complexes with practically all metal ions, including trivalent lanthanoids, where EDTA molecules are deprotonated to form a tetravalent anion; i.e., $(\text{EDTA}-4\text{H})^{4-}$ [13-16].

So far, over one hundred EDTA complexes of lanthanoid (hereafter, Ln) have been synthesized and characterized using X-ray crystallography [17]. Earlier studies have suggested evidence of the lanthanoid contraction in a series of Ln-EDTA complexes with coordinated water molecules [18-25]. However, despite the existence of more than one hundred various types of Ln-EDTA complexes with reported crystal structures [17], systematic demonstration of the distances between centered Ln ions and coordinated atoms, depending on the coordination numbers, is yet to be presented.

As to the Ln-EDTA complexes, a systematic comprehension of the lanthanoid contraction could be expected to provide us some additional insights into lanthanoid chemistry, influenced by counter-cations, crystal solvents, crystal packing effects, and so on. Therefore, I report herein a comprehensive investigation into the lanthanoid contraction of the complexes of Ln-EDTA based on the crystallographic data that is free available from the Cambridge Crystallographic Data Centre (CCDC) until the middle of 2023.

Results and Discussion

A total of 111 kinds of Ln-EDTA complexes were identified and summarized along with their respective coordination numbers (CN), excluding promethium (Pm), which was not examined due to its radioactivity as usual, and thulium (Tm), as per my previous work [26]. Some cif files deposited on CCDC couldn't be opened (3D Structure Unavailable) by the crystal viewer software Mercury. The Ln-EDTA complexes could be classified into two categories: mononuclear complexes and metal coordination polymers. In the latter, the EDTA anions act as a bridging ligand between the Ln ions. A typical mononuclear Ln-EDTA complex with three coordinated water molecules were shown in Figure 2 [27]. For clarification, H atoms, NH_4^+ , and crystalline water molecules aren't displayed.




Figure 2. An example of Ln-EDTA complexes with three coordinated water molecules: $[\text{Eu}(\text{EDTA}-4\text{H})(\text{H}_2\text{O})_3]^-$ moiety is demonstrated in the crystal of $\text{NH}_4[\text{Eu}(\text{EDTA}-4\text{H})(\text{H}_2\text{O})_3] \cdot 5\text{H}_2\text{O}$ [27]; where Eu (red purple), O (red), N (blue), and C (gray). H atoms, NH_4^+ (counter cation), and crystalline water molecules uncoordinated to Eu^{3+} are omitted.

Monomeric complexes with formulae $X[\text{Ln}(\text{EDTA}-4\text{H})(\text{H}_2\text{O})_m] \cdot n\text{H}_2\text{O}$, where $X^+ = \text{NH}_4^+$, MA^+ ($= \text{CH}_3\text{NH}_3^+$), N_2H_5^+ , and Gu^+ ($= \text{C}(\text{NH}_2)_3^+$), with $\text{Ln} = \text{Ce}-\text{Nd}$, $\text{Sm}-\text{Er}$ were hit; $\text{Gu}_2[\text{Ln}(\text{EDTA}-4\text{H})(\text{H}_2\text{O})_2]\text{ClO}_4 \cdot 6\text{H}_2\text{O}$ ($\text{Ln} = \text{Er}$, Yb , and Lu) are also included. Bond parameters for these isostructural compounds are listed in Table 1-5; $d(\text{Ln}-\text{N})$, $d(\text{Ln}-\text{O}_{\text{Ac}})$, and $d(\text{Ln}-\text{O}_{\text{w}})$ are mean bond distances between centered Ln atoms and corresponding coordinated atoms ($\text{N} =$ nitrogen atoms in the ethylenediamine moiety of EDTA anions, O_{Ac} and $\text{O}_{\text{w}} =$ oxygen atoms in $^-\text{OOC}-$ and H_2O , respectively).

Table 1 Average atomic bond lengths in the crystals of $\text{NH}_4[\text{Ln}(\text{EDTA}-4\text{H})(\text{H}_2\text{O})_m] \cdot 5\text{H}_2\text{O}$ ($m = 3$ except for the cases of $m = 2$ in both Er). The Eu and Ho have CN = 9, whereas the Er has CN = 8.

NH ₄ - Ln	Bond length / Å			Ref.
	$d(\text{Ln}-\text{N})$	$d(\text{Ln}-\text{O}_{\text{w}})$	$d(\text{Ln}-\text{O}_{\text{Ac}})$	
⁶³ Eu	2.696	2.490	2.420	[27]
⁶⁷ Ho	2.644	2.422	2.365	[28]
⁶⁸ Er(1)	2.558	2.319	2.270	[29]
⁶⁸ Er(2)	2.543	2.316	2.278	[30]

Table 2 Average atomic bond lengths in the crystals of $\text{MA}[\text{Gd}(\text{EDTA}-4\text{H})(\text{H}_2\text{O})_3] \cdot 4\text{H}_2\text{O}$ ($\text{MA} = \text{CH}_3\text{NH}_3^+$; CN = 9).

MA- Ln	Bond length / Å			Ref.
	$d(\text{Ln}-\text{N})$	$d(\text{Ln}-\text{O}_{\text{w}})$	$d(\text{Ln}-\text{O}_{\text{Ac}})$	
⁶⁴ Gd	2.678	2.492	2.412	[31]

The atomic numbers vs. mean bond distances (Å) of mononuclear Ln-EDTA complexes are demonstrated in Figure 3. The lanthanoid contraction is apparent; mean bond distances are

Table 3 Average atomic bond lengths in the crystals of $\text{N}_2\text{H}_5[\text{Ln}(\text{EDTA}-4\text{H})(\text{H}_2\text{O})_3] \cdot n\text{H}_2\text{O}$ ($n = 5$ except for the cases of Ce has $n = 4$, and Eu has $n = 3$; all have CN = 9).

N ₂ H ₅ - Ln	Bond length / Å			Ref.
	$d(\text{Ln}-\text{N})$	$d(\text{Ln}-\text{O}_{\text{w}})$	$d(\text{Ln}-\text{O}_{\text{Ac}})$	
⁵⁸ Ce	2.731	2.554	2.471	[32]
⁵⁹ Pr	2.713	2.537	2.454	[33]
⁶⁰ Nd	2.702	2.523	2.440	[33]
⁶² Sm	2.679	2.489	2.415	[33]
⁶³ Eu	2.692	2.498	2.419	[32]
⁶⁴ Gd	2.663	2.463	2.395	[34]
⁶⁵ Tb	2.654	2.452	2.385	[34]
⁶⁶ Dy	2.676	2.448	2.371	[35]

Table 4 Average atomic bond lengths in the crystals of $\text{Gu}[\text{Ln}(\text{EDTA}-4\text{H})(\text{H}_2\text{O})_3]$ ($\text{Gu} = \text{C}(\text{NH}_2)_3$; all have CN = 9).

Gu- Ln	Bond length / Å			Ref.
	$d(\text{Ln}-\text{N})$	$d(\text{Ln}-\text{O}_{\text{w}})$	$d(\text{Ln}-\text{O}_{\text{Ac}})$	
⁶⁰ Nd	2.551	2.506	2.521	[36]
⁶³ Eu	2.696	2.661	2.675	[37]
⁶⁴ Gd	2.447	2.400	2.415	[25]

Table 5 Average atomic bond lengths in the crystals of $\text{Gu}_2[\text{Ln}(\text{EDTA}-4\text{H})(\text{H}_2\text{O})_2]\text{ClO}_4 \cdot 6\text{H}_2\text{O}$ ($\text{Gu} = \text{C}(\text{N}-\text{H}_2)_3$; all have CN = 8).

Gu ₂ - Ln- ClO ₄	Bond length / Å			Ref.
	$d(\text{Ln}-\text{N})$	$d(\text{Ln}-\text{O}_{\text{w}})$	$d(\text{Ln}-\text{O}_{\text{Ac}})$	
⁶⁸ Er	2.591	2.344	2.275	[38]
⁷⁰ Yb	2.577	2.322	2.254	[39]
⁷¹ Lu	2.568	2.316	2.245	[25]

reduced by 8.08% (Ce–Lu). The complexes of CN = 8 (Er–Lu) have even shorter ion radii than those of CN = 9 (Ce–Ho), possibly explained by reducing the steric repulsion between the ligands around the coordination sphere.

Next, the mean bond distances of the complexes of $M[\text{Ln}(\text{EDTA}-4\text{H})(\text{H}_2\text{O})_m] \cdot n\text{H}_2\text{O}$, where $M = \text{Na}, \text{K},$ and Cs are shown in Table 6-8. These complexes are still thought to be mononuclear because there are no bridged Ln ions present. Alkali metal ions, however, are bridged to Ln ions via oxygen atoms (Figure 4). All the Na-Ln complexes have $\text{CN} = 9$. Among the K-Ln complexes, only one K-Yb complex has 8-coordinate, and others have $\text{CN} = 9$. Cs-Sm and -Gd have 9-coordinate geometry; on the contrary, Cs-Dy, -Ho, and -Yb have $\text{CN} = 8$. The mean bond lengths vs. atomic numbers of the Ln series (La–Yb, except for Pm and Tm) with Na, K, and Cs are shown in Figure 5.




Figure 4. The crystal structures of mononuclear $\text{Na}[\text{La}(\text{EDTA}-4\text{H})(\text{H}_2\text{O})_3] \cdot 5\text{H}_2\text{O}$ [24], where La (red purple), Na (purple), O (red), N (blue), and C (gray). H atoms are not shown.




Figure 3. Mean atomic bond distances (Å) of $d(\text{Ln}-\text{N})$, $d(\text{Ln}-\text{O}_{\text{Ac}})$, and $d(\text{Ln}-\text{O}_{\text{w}})$ vs. atomic numbers of mononuclear complexes of $\text{X}[\text{Ln}(\text{EDTA}-4\text{H})(\text{H}_2\text{O})_m] \cdot n\text{H}_2\text{O}$, where $\text{X}^+ = \text{NH}_4^+$, $\text{MA}^+ (= \text{CH}_3\text{NH}_3^+)$, N_2H_5^+ , and $\text{Gu}^+ (= \text{C}(\text{NH}_2)_3^+)$, in addition to $\text{Gu}_2[\text{Ln}(\text{EDTA}-4\text{H})(\text{H}_2\text{O})_2]\text{ClO}_4 \cdot 6\text{H}_2\text{O}$. From Ce to Ho, the complexes have 9-coordinate geometry (denoted as triangles), whereas from Er to Lu, $\text{CN} = 8$ (circles).

Table 6 Average atomic bond lengths in the crystals of Na[Ln(EDTA-4H)(H₂O)_m] \cdot nH₂O ($m = 3$, $n = 5$, except for the case of $n = 3.25$ in Dy(3)).

Na-Ln	Bond length / Å			Ref.
	$d(\text{Ln-N})$	$d(\text{Ln-O}_w)$	$d(\text{Ln-O}_{Ac})$	
⁵⁷ La	2.768	2.589	2.493	[24]
⁵⁸ Ce	2.709	2.571	2.491	[40]
⁵⁹ Pr	2.714	2.536	2.458	[19]
⁶⁰ Nd(1)	2.700	2.535	2.451	[24]
⁶⁰ Nd(2)	2.696	2.521	2.454	[36]
⁶² Sm(1)	2.673	2.499	2.423	[24]
⁶² Sm(2)	2.667	2.494	2.421	[41]
⁶² Sm(3)	2.614	2.466	2.381	[42]
⁶³ Eu(1)	2.675	2.495	2.411	[24]
⁶³ Eu(2)	2.665	2.480	2.410	[43]
⁶⁴ Gd(1)	2.651	2.472	2.399	[19]
⁶⁴ Gd(2)	2.653	2.469	2.400	[44]
⁶⁵ Tb	2.643	2.448	2.377	[43]
⁶⁶ Dy(1)	2.627	2.464	2.392	[18]
⁶⁶ Dy(2)	2.640	2.456	2.377	[43]
⁶⁶ Dy(3)	2.649	2.461	2.381	[45]
⁶⁷ Ho(1)	2.633	2.453	2.363	[22]
⁶⁷ Ho(2)	2.654	2.457	2.395	[46]
⁶⁸ Er	2.618	2.428	2.351	[47]

Table 7 Average atomic bond lengths in the crystals of K[Ln(EDTA-4H)(H₂O)_m] \cdot nH₂O ($m = 3$, $n = 5$, except for the cases of $m = 2$ in Yb; $n = 3.5$ in Eu).

K-Ln	Bond length / Å			Ref.
	$d(\text{Ln-N})$	$d(\text{Ln-O}_w)$	$d(\text{Ln-O}_{Ac})$	
⁶⁰ Nd	2.712	2.539	2.436	[23]
⁶² Sm	2.692	2.503	2.412	[48]
⁶³ Eu	2.677	2.500	2.402	[49]
⁶⁴ Gd(1)	2.677	2.483	2.399	[50]
⁶⁴ Gd(2)	2.719	2.553	2.464	[51]
⁶⁵ Tb	2.655	2.470	2.390	[52]
⁶⁷ Ho	2.617	2.446	2.367	[47]
⁷⁰ Yb	2.519	2.343	2.272	[53]

Table 8 Average atomic bond lengths in the crystals of Cs[Ln(EDTA-4H)(H₂O)_m] \cdot 5H₂O ($m = 3$, except for the cases of $m = 2$ in both Er).

Cs-Ln	Bond length / Å			Ref.
	$d(\text{Ln-N})$	$d(\text{Ln-O}_w)$	$d(\text{Ln-O}_{Ac})$	
⁶² Sm	2.671	2.466	2.423	[47]
⁶⁴ Gd	2.654	2.434	2.400	[47]
⁶⁶ Dy	2.574	2.392	2.318	[47]
⁶⁷ Ho	2.584	2.378	2.314	[47]
⁷⁰ Yb	2.532	2.369	2.262	[18]

The complex of Na-Sm(3) has slightly shorter bond distances than that of Na-Sm(1) and Na-Sm(2). For example, does the amount of crystalline water molecules differ? Wang et al. (2010) reported an X-ray crystallography with its approximately consistent elemental analysis [42]; hence, a possibility of the different composition would be incorrect. Conversely, as to the case of the K-Gd(2) complex, the bond distances are irregularly longer compared with others. By Yang et al. (2002), X-ray crystallography was the only method for its characterization (both elemental analysis and IR spectroscopy weren't reported) [51]. It's difficult to say what the deviation would mean, but by contrast, it should be wondered if the composition is really accurate in the case of K-Gd(2).

Between Dy and Er, complexes having CN = 8 and 9 are overlapping. The complexes of Cs-Dy and -Ho have CN = 8, whereas Na-Dy, -Ho, -Er, and K-Ho have CN = 9. Compared with 9-coordinate, 8-coordinate have significantly shorter distances. According to Sakagami et al. (1999), these facts seem to indicate that

the geometry around the Ln atoms is influenced by the size and electrostatic properties of the counter-cations [47]. However, these findings of the cases of the complexes having alkali metals are in contrast to those described for the cases of NH_4^+ and its analogues (MA^+ , N_2H_5^+ , and Gu^+) as counter ions, because in Er, both $\text{NH}_4\text{-Er}$ and $\text{Gu}_2\text{-Er-ClO}_4$ in spite of having the same 8-coordinate geometry. By manifestation of these two things, as counter-cations, alkali metals could have strong perturbation through coordination bonding to the coordination sphere around the Ln ions than the cases having NH_4^+ and its analogues, not having coordination bonding to the Ln ions by themselves. The mean bond distances are reduced by 8.93% (La–Yb).

Janicki & Mondry (2014) have summarized the monomeric $[\text{Ln}(\text{EDTA-4H})(\text{H}_2\text{O})_n]^-$ ($n = 2, 3, 4$) having counter-cations of H^+ , Na^+ , K^+ , Cs^+ , NH_4^+ , and Gu^+ , where the one having H^+ was $\text{H}[\text{La}(\text{EDTA-4H})(\text{H}_2\text{O})_4]$ [38]. But actually, it is more appropriate to denote the complex $\text{H}[\text{La}(\text{EDTA-4H})]$ as $[\text{La}(\text{EDTA-3H})]$, since H^+ is on one carboxylate group by Lind et al. (1965) [54]. Another problem by Janicki & Mondry (2014) is that the other Ln complexes having $(\text{EDTA-3H})^{3-}$ and water molecules as ligands weren't discussed [38]. While another $[\text{Ln}(\text{EDTA-3H})]$ series with crystal structures had been reported; not as monomeric complexes but as ones having bridged Ln ions by oxygen atoms described in Table 9 and Figure 6.




Figure 5. Mean atomic bond distances (Å) of $d(\text{Ln-N})$, $d(\text{Ln-O}_w)$, and $d(\text{Ln-O}_{\text{Ac}})$ vs. atomic numbers of mononuclear complexes of $\text{M}[\text{Ln}(\text{EDTA-4H})(\text{H}_2\text{O})_m] \cdot n\text{H}_2\text{O}$, where $\text{M}^+ = \text{Na}^+$, K^+ , and Cs^+ . Although K-Yb, Cs-Dy, Cs-Ho, and Cs-Yb have CN = 8, the remaining complexes have CN = 9.

Table 9 Average atomic bond lengths in the crystals of $[\text{Ln}(\text{EDTA}-3\text{H})(\text{H}_2\text{O})_m] \cdot n\text{H}_2\text{O}$, except for the case of H-Ce = $[\text{Cr}(\text{OH})_6\text{Mo}_6\text{O}_{18}][\text{Ce}_3(\text{EDTA}-3\text{H})_2(\text{H}_2\text{O})_9] \cdot 13\text{H}_2\text{O}$ (CN = 10). From La to Sm: $m = 1, n = 0$ (La & Ce, CN = 10; Nd & Sm, CN = 9); Gd to Dy(1): $m = 0, n = 3$; Dy(2) to Er; $m = 0, n = 2$ (CN = 8).

H-Ln	Bond length / Å				Ref.
	$d(\text{Ln}-\text{N})$	$d(\text{Ln}-\text{O}_w)$	$d(\text{Ln}-\text{O}_{\text{Ac}})$		
			inter	intra	
^{57}La	2.849	2.655	2.726	2.524	[55]
^{58}Ce	2.789	2.564	2.700	2.504	[56]
^{60}Nd	2.776	2.552	2.453	2.452	[57]
^{62}Sm	2.773	2.548	2.453	2.454	[58]
^{64}Gd	2.626	-	2.354	2.353	[59]
$^{66}\text{Dy}(1)$	2.626	-	2.355	2.354	[61]
$^{66}\text{Dy}(2)$	2.604	-	2.328	2.325	[60]
^{67}Ho	2.592	-	2.323	2.311	[62]
^{68}Er	2.577	-	2.306	2.299	[63]

Some $[\text{Ln}(\text{EDTA}-3\text{H})]$ are denoted as $\text{H}_3\text{O}[\text{Ln}(\text{EDTA}-4\text{H})]$ in the original articles [61-63]. Since it should be the $-\text{COO}^-$ more basic than water molecules to receive H^+ , all compounds are unified as a category within a series of $[\text{Ln}(\text{EDTA}-3\text{H})]$ herein.

In the early study based upon the crystal structure of the H-La complex, its coordination number had been reported to be CN = 10 [38]. On the other hand, the recent study by Xiong et al. (2007) reported the CN as 9 [55]. In the present study, the two La^{3+} are considered to have both CN = 10, including two coordinated intermolecular $-\text{COO}^-$ groups. The bond lengths of two $\text{La}-\text{O}_{\text{Ac-inter}}$ is 2.475 Å and 2.974 Å, respectively; the longer one being considered




Figure 6. Mean atomic bond distances (Å) of $d(\text{Ln}-\text{N})$, $d(\text{Ln}-\text{O}_w)$, $d(\text{Ln}-\text{O}_{\text{Ac-intra}})$, and $d(\text{Ln}-\text{O}_{\text{Ac-inter}})$ vs. atomic numbers of mononuclear complexes of $[\text{Ln}(\text{EDTA}-3\text{H})(\text{H}_2\text{O})_m] \cdot n\text{H}_2\text{O}$, except for the case of H-Ce = $[\text{Cr}(\text{OH})_6\text{Mo}_6\text{O}_{18}][\text{Ce}_3(\text{EDTA}-3\text{H})_2(\text{H}_2\text{O})_9] \cdot 13\text{H}_2\text{O}$ (CN = 10).

non-bonding because it is too long [55].

Among the H-Ln series, a question of the presence or absence of coordinated water molecules is of interest. In the case of the light rare earths (LRE) from La to Sm, the complexes exhibit coordinated water molecules, whereas the heavy rare earths (HRE) from Gd to Er do not. As these series of complexes lack counter-cations such as M^+ or NH_4^+ and its analogues, the intermolecular distances between each H-Ln complex are shortened, resulting in the replacement of bridging $-COO^-$ groups instead of water molecules. This phenomenon is particularly evident in the complexes of HRE. Furthermore, the transition to $CN = 8$ is occurring from Gd that is earlier than in previously discussed monomeric complexes. This distinction would suggest that the H-Ln complexes should be differentiated from other monomeric one having alkali metal ions, ammonium ions and its analogues as counter-cations.

Hereafter, the remaining complexes of Ln-EDTA with coordinated water molecules are discussed whose bond lengths are listed in Table 10. For the cases where Ln ions lacking coordination with water molecules are also incorporated, only the bond lengths of Ln ions with coordination bonds are demonstrated. The complexes have somewhat complicated chemical formulae as follow:













Table 10 Average atomic bond lengths in the crystal compounds of the Ln-EDTA complexes that have coordinated water molecules. La(1) to La(5), Pr, and Nd have $CN = 10$; whereas the remaining complexes have $CN = 9$.

H ₂ O-Ln	Bond length / Å				Ref.
	$d(Ln-N)$	$d(Ln-O_w)$	$d(Ln-O)$		
			inter	intra	
⁵⁷ La(1)	2.821	2.568	-	2.566	[64]
⁵⁷ La(2)	2.785	2.532	2.609	2.612	[64]
⁵⁷ La(3)	2.806	2.650	2.637	2.531	[48]
⁵⁷ La(4)	2.852	2.561	2.643	2.529	[65]
⁵⁷ La(5)	2.833	2.587	2.589	2.539	[66]
⁵⁷ La(6)	2.780	2.529	-	2.535	[67]
⁵⁷ La(7)	2.763	2.529	-	2.534	[67]
⁵⁸ Ce	2.736	2.534	-	2.507	[67]
⁵⁹ Pr	2.796	2.524	2.672	2.475	[68]
⁶⁰ Nd	2.765	2.504	2.615	2.466	[69]
⁶³ Eu(1)	2.682	2.497	2.417	2.406	[70]
⁶³ Eu(2)	2.680	2.495	2.416	2.409	[70]
⁶⁴ Gd(1)	2.675	2.477	2.401	2.396	[70]
⁶⁴ Gd(2)	2.668	2.483	2.428	2.416	[70]





As displayed in Figure 7, these complexes with coordinated water molecules have CN =

10 (La–Nd), and CN = 9 (La–Gd). There is a large overlap between CN = 10 and 9 compared with the previous shown Ln-EDTA complexes. The cases of that the proportion of non-major components except for the lanthanoid-centered EDTA moieties within each complex is relatively large, it is probable that the coordination numbers are strongly influenced.

Now that the last remaining series of Ln-EDTA complexes which do not have any coordinated water molecules are outlined as shown in Table 11 and 12. Here, bond parameters of the series without coordinated water molecules from Ln-EDTA complexes, which are depicted in Table 10, are also presented. As




Figure 7. Mean atomic bond distances (\AA) of $d(\text{Ln-N})$, $d(\text{Ln-O}_w)$, $d(\text{Ln-O}_{\text{Ac-intra}})$, and $d(\text{Ln-O}_{\text{intra}})$ vs. atomic numbers of the complicated remaining complexes of Ln-EDTA with coordinated water molecules. It should be noted that the O atoms in $d(\text{Ln-O}_{\text{intra}})$ are not necessarily belonging to the $-\text{COO}^-$ in neighboring EDTA moieties.

Table 11 Average atomic bond lengths in the crystal compounds of the remaining LRE-EDTA complexes that have no coordinated water molecules; only Sm(1)-EDTA complexes has CN = 9, whereas others have CN = 10.

LRE- EDTA	Bond length / Å			Ref.
	$d(\text{Ln-N})$	$d(\text{Ln-O})$		
		inter	intra	
$^{57}\text{La}(1)$	2.813	2.563	2.588	[71]
$^{57}\text{La}(2)$	2.785	2.563	2.611	[71]
$^{57}\text{La}(3)$	2.802	2.562	2.609	[71]
$^{57}\text{La}(4)$	2.746	2.515	2.657	[64]
$^{57}\text{La}(5)$	2.808	2.568	2.596	[48]
$^{57}\text{La}(6)$	2.803	2.566	2.601	[48]
$^{57}\text{La}(7)$	2.822	2.566	2.650	[72]
$^{57}\text{La}(8)$	2.830	2.573	2.630	[72]
$^{57}\text{La}(9)$	2.771	2.559	2.625	[73]
$^{57}\text{La}(10)$	2.803	2.568	2.593	[73]
$^{57}\text{La}(11)$	2.767	2.556	2.613	[67]
$^{57}\text{La}(12)$	2.768	2.541	2.626	[67]
$^{57}\text{La}(13)$	2.785	2.572	2.581	[67]
$^{58}\text{Ce}(1)$	2.720	2.418	2.342	[74]
$^{58}\text{Ce}(2)$	2.795	2.543	2.568	[71]
$^{58}\text{Ce}(3)$	2.782	2.543	2.595	[71]
$^{58}\text{Ce}(4)$	2.562	2.494	2.317	[75]
$^{58}\text{Ce}(5)$	2.590	2.484	2.316	[75]
$^{58}\text{Ce}(6)$	2.712	2.408	2.331	[75]
$^{58}\text{Ce}(7)$	2.763	2.535	2.597	[73]
$^{58}\text{Ce}(8)$	2.788	2.548	2.578	[73]
$^{58}\text{Ce}(9)$	2.764	2.553	2.566	[67]
$^{58}\text{Ce}(10)$	2.757	2.523	2.618	[67]
$^{62}\text{Sm}(1)$	2.442	2.660	2.432	[48]
$^{62}\text{Sm}(2)$	2.771	2.491	2.482	[76]

aforementioned, former studies indicated the lanthanoid contraction of EDTA complexes using only some Ln-EDTA complexes with coordinated water molecules [18-25]. This means that a thorough examination of the lanthanoid

Table 12 Average atomic bond lengths in the crystal compounds of the remaining HRE-EDTA complexes that have no coordinated water molecules; Eu(3') have CN = 3, Eu(3), Gd(2), and Gd(4') have CN = 9, others have CN = 8.

HRE- EDTA	Bond length / Å			Ref.
	$d(\text{Ln-N})$	$d(\text{Ln-O})$		
		inter	intra	
$^{63}\text{Eu}(1)$	2.748	2.597	2.611	[77]
$^{63}\text{Eu}(2)$	2.793	2.556	2.569	[78]
$^{63}\text{Eu}(3)$	2.755	2.467	2.388	[70]
$^{63}\text{Eu}(3')$	2.771	2.481	2.501	[70]
$^{64}\text{Gd}(1)$	2.617	2.407	2.308	[79]
$^{64}\text{Gd}(2)$	2.657	2.396	2.421	[79]
$^{64}\text{Gd}(3)$	2.610	2.393	2.319	[79]
$^{64}\text{Gd}(4)$	2.758	2.483	2.595	[79]
$^{64}\text{Gd}(4')$	2.796	2.434	2.559	[79]
$^{68}\text{Er}(1)$	2.513	2.291	2.343	[80]
$^{68}\text{Er}(2)$	2.574	7.432	2.329	[81]
$^{70}\text{Yb}(1)$	2.553	2.282	2.306	[82]
$^{70}\text{Yb}(2)$	2.539	2.274	2.303	[82]
$^{70}\text{Yb}(3)$	2.533	2.272	2.299	[82]
$^{70}\text{Yb}(4)$	2.541	2.276	2.294	[82]

contraction in EDTA complexes, lacking coordinated water molecules, is yet to be carried out. Each bond distance is plotted against atomic number for the complexes without coordinated water molecules in Figure 8. The chemical formulae for the variety of each compound are not provided here, however, in some complexes, hydroxide, nitrate, carbonate, peroxide, phosphite, oxalate, malonate, and citrate ions are coordinated in place of water molecules or the O atoms of the $-\text{COO}^-$ from the neighboring EDTA anions. In particular, the difference in bond length surpasses any previous series, with some bond lengths even practically resembling

those of Er complexes with CN = 8, despite the Ce complex having CN = 10; it is due to that Ce(4)–Ce(6) have tetravalence; Ce(IV) [75]. Another characteristic of this series is the difference in the range of coordination numbers. The Ln-EDTA complexes with coordinated water molecules have a CN = 10, which ranges from La to Nd (also overlapping with CN = 9). Conversely, up to Eu, the CN in the Ln-EDTA complexes without coordinated water molecules increases to 10. This suggests that the coordination number of Ln-EDTA complexes can be significantly tunable while retaining the EDTA anions as the primary ligands.

It is primarily the Ln-O bond lengths that

exhibit the most variation, whereas the Ln-N bond lengths display comparatively little variation between them. Evidently, factors beyond the coordinating EDTA anion, such as distinctions in crystal packing due to the kind of coordinating other anions and non-coordinating moieties, occasionally have an impact on the atomic bond lengths. This would be because, in contrast to other rigid ligands, EDTA anions are flexible. Nonetheless, it is undeniable that the overall trend towards the lanthanoid contraction is obvious even in this series. From La to Yb, the average atomic bond lengths decrease by 10.64%.




Figure 8. Mean atomic bond distances (Å) of $d(\text{Ln-N})$, $d(\text{Ln-O}_{\text{inter}})$, and $d(\text{Ln-O}_{\text{Ac-intra}})$ vs. atomic numbers of the remaining complexes of Ln-EDTA without coordinated water molecules. It should be noted that the O atoms in $d(\text{Ln-O}_{\text{intra}})$ are not necessarily belonging to $-\text{COO}^-$ in the neighboring EDTA moieties.

Concluding Remarks

In order to achieve a comprehensive understanding on the lanthanoid contraction among the complexes of Ln-EDTA already reported individually, I searched and analyzed data on 111 kinds of crystal structures obtained from the CCDC. The finding indicates clear lanthanoid contraction in the series of mono-nuclear Ln-EDTA complexes with coordinated water molecules having ammonium ions, its analogues, and alkali metals as counter-cations. However, there is a tendency for greater variation in the average atomic bond lengths as the Ln-EDTA complexes became multinuclear or coordination polymers. This phenomenon is influenced by various types of counter-cations, including bridging another Ln ions coordinated to the O atoms of -COO^- in EDTA anions, as well as factors beyond the coordination sphere. Such variation is uncommon in the studies of the lanthanoid contraction of the complexes having rigid ligands previously reported. It is suggested that the EDTA anions have a versatility as ligands, which could provide a flexibility in the CN and atomic bond lengths in the lanthanide contraction.

Acknowledgements

I appreciate to the CCDC for providing crystallographic data free of charge. I would also like to acknowledge the fund partially received from the Research Grant of Nagasaki University for Global Health Research Collaboration (2023).

Conflicts of Interest

I declare that there are no conflicts of interest directly relevant to the contents of this manuscript.

References

- [1] Cotton SA. Scandium, yttrium & the lanthanides: in organic & coordination chemistry. In: King RB, Crabtree RH, Lukehart CM, Atwood DA, Scott RA, editors. *Encyclopedia of Inorganic Chemistry*: John Wiley & Sons, Ltd; 2006:1-40.
- [2] Pellissier H. Recent developments in enantioselective lanthanide-catalyzed transformations. *Coord Chem Rev.* 2017;336:96-151.
- [3] Zapolotsky EN, Qu Y, Babailov SP. Lanthanide complexes with polyaminopolycarboxylates as prospective NMR/MRI diagnostic probes: peculiarities of molecular structure, dynamics and paramagnetic properties. *J Incl Phenom Macrocycl Chem.* 2022; 102(1-2):1-33.
- [4] Quadrelli EA. Lanthanide contraction over the 4f series follows a quadratic decay. *Inorg Chem.* 2002; 41(2):167-9.
- [5] Seitz M, Oliver AG, Raymond KN. The lanthanide contraction revisited. *J Am Chem Soc.* 2004; 129(36):11153-60.
- [6] Jordan RB. Lanthanide contraction: what is normal? *Inorg Chem.* 2023;62(9):3715-21.
- [7] Shannon RD. Revised effective ionic radii and systematic studies of interatomic distances in halides and chalcogenides. *Acta Cryst A.* 1976;32(5):751-67.
- [8] Cotton SA. Establishing coordination numbers for the lanthanides in simple complexes. *C R Chimie.* 2005;8(2):129-45.
- [9] Cotton SA, Raithby PR. Systematics and surprises in lanthanide coordination chemistry. *Coord Chem Rev.* 2017;340:220-31.
- [10] Reuter H, Böltken M, Horstmann M, Haase M. Structural evolution in a series of isomorphous rare

- earth compounds as response of lanthanide contraction. *Crystals*. 2023;13(7):1043.
- [11] Lu H, Zheng Z, Qiu J, Hou Y, Hou H, Wen T, Guo X, Pan Q, Wang Y, Wang J, Lin J. Switching photochromism in coordination polymers by forcing lanthanide contraction. *Cell Rep Phys Sci*. 2023;4(3):101306.
- [12] Zhang K, Dai Z, Zhang W, Gao Q, Dai Y, Xia F, Zhang X. EDTA-based adsorbents for the removal of metal ions in waste-water. *Coord Chem Rev*. 2021;434:213809.
- [13] Kolat RS, Powell JE. The solid rare earth chelates of ethylenediaminetetraacetic acid. *Inorg Chem*. 1962;1(3):485-90.
- [14] Porai-Koshits MA, Polynova TN. Stereochemistry of metal complexes based on ethylenediaminetetraacetic acid and its diamine analogs. *Sov J Coord Chem*. 1984;10(6):395-439.
- [15] Wang J, Hu P, Liu B, Jin X, Kong Y, Gao J, Wang D, Wang B, Xu R, Zhang X. Investigation on coordination number and geometrical conformation of rare earth complexes with catenulate aminopolycarboxylic acid ligands. *J Coord Chem*. 2010;63(13):2193-222.
- [16] Noguchi D. Analysis of specialties of crystal structure for non-chelate conformations of ethylenediaminetetraacetic acid and its salts with alkali and alkaline earth metals. *Ukr Chem J*. 2022;88(10):55-69.
- [17] Janicki R, Mondry A, Starynowicz P. Carboxylates of rare earth elements. *Coord Chem Rev*. 2017;340:98-133.
- [18] Nassimbeni LR, Wright MRW, Niekerk JCvan, McCallum PA. Packing patterns in lanthanide–edta complexes: crystal and molecular structures of sodium triaqua(ethylenediaminetetraacetato)dysprosate(III) pentahydrate and caesium diaqua(ethylenediaminetetraacetato)ytterbate(III) trihydrate. *Acta Cryst B*. 1979;35(6):1341-5.
- [19] Templeton LK, Templeton DH, Zalkin A, Ruben HW. Anomalous scattering by praseodymium, samarium and gadolinium and structures of their ethylenediaminetetraacetate (edta) salts, *Acta Cryst B*. 1982;38(8):2155-9.
- [20] Stezowski JJ, Hoard JL. Heavy metal ionophores: correlations among structural parameters of complexed nonpeptide polyamino acids. *Isr J Chem*. 1984;24:323-34.
- [21] Ternovaya TV, Shelest VP, Gerasimenko NV, Ii'nit'skaya EL. Structure of ethylenediaminetetraacetates of rare-earth elements in crystals and solutions. *Theor Exp Chem*. 1985;21(4):418-28.
- [22] L. K. Templeton, D. H. Templeton, A. Zalkin, Structure of monoclinic sodium (ethylenediaminetetraacetato)holmate(III) octahydrate, $\text{Na}[\text{Ho}(\text{C}_{10}\text{H}_{12}\text{N}_2\text{O}_8)] \cdot 8\text{H}_2\text{O}$: disorder induced by radiation. *Acta Cryst C*. 1985;41(3):355-8.
- [23] Matković-Čalogović D. Structure of potassium triaqua(ethylenediaminetetraacetato)neodymate(III) pentahydrate. *Acta Cryst C*. 1988;44(3):435-7.
- [24] Nakamura K, Kurisaki T, Wakita H, Yamaguchi T. Sodium triaqua(ethylenediaminetetraacetato)lanthanate(III) pentahydrate and the isomorphous neodymium(III) and europium(III) salts. *Acta Cryst C*. 1995;51(8):1559-63.
- [25] Janicki R, Mondry A. Structural and thermodynamic aspects of hydration of Gd(III) systems. *Dalton Trans*. 2019;48(10):3380-91.
- [26] Noguchi D. Coordination numbers for rare-earths ethylenediaminetetraacetate (REE-EDTA) in crystalline state: a mini-review. *Rep Grad Sch Eng Nagasaki Univ*. 2023;53(101):46-53.
- [27] Wang J, Zhang XD, Jia WG, Zhang Y, Liu ZR. Syntheses and structural researches of nine-coordinated $(\text{NH}_4)[\text{Eu}^{\text{III}}(\text{Edta})(\text{H}_2\text{O})_3] \cdot \text{H}_2\text{O}$ and $(\text{NH}_4)_3[\text{Eu}^{\text{III}}(\text{Ttha})] \cdot 5\text{H}_2\text{O}$. *Russ J Coord Chem*. 2004;30(2):130-6.
- [28] Wang XF, Lui XZh, Wang J, Zhang ZhH, Sun W, Kong YM, Zhang XD. Syntheses and structures of nine-coordinate $\text{NH}_4[\text{Ho}^{\text{III}}(\text{Edta})(\text{H}_2\text{O})_3] \cdot 1.5\text{H}_2\text{O}$, $(\text{NH}_4)_4[\text{Ho}_2^{\text{III}}(\text{Dtpa})_2] \cdot 9\text{H}_2\text{O}$, and $(\text{NH}_4)_3[\text{Ho}^{\text{III}}(\text{Ttha})] \cdot 5\text{H}_2\text{O}$ complexes. *Russ J Coord Chem*. 2008;34(2):134-45.

- [29] Filippova TV, Polynova TN, Il'inskii AL, Porai-Koshits MA, Martynenko LI. Crystal structure of the pentahydrate ammonium salt of erbium(III) ethylenediaminetetraacetate. *J Struct Chem.* 1977;18(6): 895-6.
- [30] Polynova TN, Filippova TV, Porai-Koshits MA. Crystal structure of ammonium erbium(III) ethylenediaminetetraacetate pentahydrate. *Russ J Coord Chem.* 1986;12(3):409-14.
- [31] Ma CC, Li Y, Wang J, Kong DY, Qin C, Wu Q. Syntheses and structural determination of mononuclear nine-coordinate $(\text{MnH})[\text{Gd}^{\text{III}}(\text{EDTA})(\text{H}_2\text{O})_3]\cdot 4\text{H}_2\text{O}$ and 2D ladder-like binuclear nine-coordinate $(\text{Mn-H})_2[\text{Gd}^{\text{III}}_2(\text{H}_2\text{TTHA})_2]\cdot 4\text{H}_2\text{O}$. *Russ J Coord Chem.* 2014;40(9):617-26.
- [32] Ragul R, Sivasankar BN. Syntheses, characterization and structural determination of nine coordinated $\text{N}_2\text{H}_5[\text{Ce}(\text{edta})(\text{H}_2\text{O})_3]\cdot 4\text{H}_2\text{O}$ and $\text{N}_2\text{H}_5[\text{Eu}(\text{edta})(\text{H}_2\text{O})_3]\cdot 4\text{H}_2\text{O}$. *J Chem Cryst.* 2011;41(9):1273-9.
- [33] Ragul R, Sivasankar BN. Synthesis, structure, antioxidant, and antiviral studies on $\text{N}_2\text{H}_5[\text{Ln}(\text{edta})(\text{H}_2\text{O})_3]\cdot 5\text{H}_2\text{O}$ (Ln = Pr, Nd, and Sm). *Synth React Inorg Met-Org Nano-Met Chem.* 2013;43(4):382-9.
- [34] Vikram L, Sonia C, Sivasankar BN. New nine coordinated hydrated heavier lanthanide ethylenediaminetetraacetates containing hydrazinium cation: molecular structure of $\text{N}_2\text{H}_5[\text{Tb}(\text{EDTA})(\text{H}_2\text{O})_3]\cdot 5\text{H}_2\text{O}$ and $\text{N}_2\text{H}_5[\text{Gd}(\text{EDTA})(\text{H}_2\text{O})_3]\cdot 5\text{H}_2\text{O}$. *Asian J Chem.* 2013;25(3):1441-6.
- [35] Vikram L, Sivasankar BN. New nine coordinated hydrated heavier lanthanide ethylenediaminetetraacetates containing hydrazinium cation: crystal structure of $\text{N}_2\text{H}_5[\text{Dy}(\text{EDTA})(\text{H}_2\text{O})_3](\text{H}_2\text{O})_5$. *Indian J Chem A.* 2008;47(1):25-31.
- [36] Janicki R, Mondry A. Relationships between structure and spectroscopic properties of Nd^{3+} ethylenediaminetetramethylenephosphonates and ethylenediaminetetraacetates. *Eur J Inorg Chem.* 2013;(19): 3429-38.
- [37] Mondry A, Janicki R. From structural properties of the Eu^{III} complex with ethylenediaminetetra(methylene-phosphonic acid) (H_8EDTMP) towards biomedical applications. *Dalton Trans.* 2006;(39):4702-10.
- [38] Janicki R, Mondry A. A new approach to determination of hydration equilibria constants for the case of $[\text{Er}(\text{EDTA})(\text{H}_2\text{O})_n]^-$ complexes, *Phys Chem Chem Phys.* 2014;16(48):26823-31.
- [39] Janicki R, Starynowicz P, Mondry A. Complexes of Yb^{3+} with EDTA and CDTA – molecular and electronic structure. *Euro J Inorg Chem.* 2008;(19):3075-82.
- [40] Drew MGB, Foreman MRStJ, Hudson MJ, Kennedy KF. Structural studies of lanthanide complexes with tetradentate nitrogen ligands. *Inorg Chim Acta.* 2004;357(14):4102-12.
- [41] Engel DW, Takusagawa F, Koetzle TF. Neutron diffraction study at 37 K of sodium triqua(ethylenediaminetetraacetato)samarate(III) pentahydrate, $\text{Na}[\text{Sm}(\text{C}_{10}\text{H}_{12}\text{N}_2\text{O}_8)(\text{H}_2\text{O})_3]\cdot 5\text{H}_2\text{O}$. *Acta Cryst C.* 1984; 40(10):1687-93.
- [42] Wang J, Hu P, Liu B, Chen X, Zhang LQ, Han GX, Xu R, Zhang XD. Mononuclear nine-coordinate $\text{Na}[\text{Sm}^{\text{III}}(\text{edta})(\text{H}_2\text{O})_3]\cdot 5\text{H}_2\text{O}$ and one dimensional unlimited ladderlike eight-coordinate $\{[\text{Sm}^{\text{III}}(\text{Hpdt})-(\text{H}_2\text{O})]\cdot 2\text{H}_2\text{O}\}_n$ complexes: synthesis and structural determination. *Russ J Inorg Chem.* 2010;55(10): 1567-73.
- [43] Holmberg RJ, Korobkov I, Murugesu M. Enchaining EDTA-chelated lanthanide molecular magnets into ordered 1D networks. *RSC Adv.* 2016;6(76):72510-8.
- [44] Holmberg RJ, Ho LTA, Ungur L, Korobkov I, Chibotaru LF, Murugesu M. Observation of unusual slow-relaxation of the magnetisation in a Gd-EDTA chelate. *Dalton Trans.* 2015;44(47):20321-5.
- [45] Wang J, Gao G, Zhang Z, Zhang X, Wang Y. Syntheses and structural determinations of the nine-coordinate rare earth metal: $\text{Na}_4[\text{Dy}^{\text{III}}(\text{dtpa})(\text{H}_2\text{O})_2]\cdot 16\text{H}_2\text{O}$, $\text{Na}[\text{Dy}^{\text{III}}(\text{edta})(\text{H}_2\text{O})_3]\cdot 3.25\text{H}_2\text{O}$ and $\text{Na}_3[\text{Dy}^{\text{III}}(\text{nta})_2(\text{H}_2\text{O})]\cdot 5.5\text{H}_2\text{O}$. *J Coord Chem.* 2007; 60(20):2221-41.
- [46] Eggen M, Kędzierski A, Janicki R, Korabik M, Krońnicki M. The ab initio and experimental study of

- the spectroscopic and magnetic properties of Ho(III)-EDTA. *Polyhedron*. 2022;222:115851.
- [47] Sakagami N, Yamada Y, Konno T, Okamoto K. Crystal structures and stereochemical properties of lanthanide(III) complexes with ethylenediamine-*N,N,N,N'*-tetraacetate. *Inorg Chim Acta*. 1999;288(1):7-16.
- [48] Chen M, Xu Z, Zhou Z. Conversions of monomeric, dimeric and tetrameric lanthanum and samarium citrates with ethylenediaminetetraacetates in aqueous solutions. *Polyhedron*. 2018;153:213-7.
- [49] Wang J, Zhang X, Zhang Y, Liu X, Liu Z. Researches on crystal and molecular structures of nine-coordination mononuclear $K[Eu^{III}(Edta)(H_2O)_3] \cdot 3.5H_2O$ and binuclear $K_4[Eu^{III}_2(Httha)_2] \cdot 13.5H_2O$. *Russ J Coord Chem*. 2004;30(12):850-8.
- [50] Wang J, Zhang X, Fan D, Ling X. Synthesis and structural determination of nine-coordinate $K[Gd^{III}(edta)(H_2O)_3] \cdot 5H_2O$. *Rare Met*. 2001;20(4):224-9.
- [51] Yang C, Guo G, Zhou G, Zheng F, Liu J, Ma H, Wang M, Huang J. Synthesis and crystal structure of potassium triaqua(ethylenediamine-*N,N,N,N'*-tetraacetate)gadolinium(III) pentahydrate. *Chin J Struct Chem*. 2002;21(4):364-7.
- [52] Wang J, Liu Z, Zhang X, Jia W, Zhang Y, Tong J, Zhang P. Study on syntheses and structures of nine-coordinated mononuclear $K[Tb^{III}(edta)(H_2O)_3] \cdot 5H_2O$ and binuclear $K_4[Tb^{III}_2(Httha)_2] \cdot 14H_2O$ complexes. *Rare Met*. 2003;22(4):241-9.
- [53] Sakagami N, Homma J, Konno T, Okamoto K. Potassium diaqua(ethylenediaminetetraacetato)ytterbate(III) pentahydrate. *Acta Cryst C*. 1997;53(10):1376-8.
- [54] Lind MD, Lee B, Hoard JL. Structure and bonding in a ten-coordinate lanthanum(III) chelate of ethylenediaminetetraacetic acid. *J Am Chem Soc*. 1965;87(7):1611-2.
- [55] Xiong D, Chen H, Yang X, Zhao J. Hydrothermal synthesis and characterization of a new 1-D polymeric lanthanum ethylenediaminetetraacetate with less metal-aqua coordination: $\{[La(EDTA)(H_2O)_2]_n\}$. *Inorg Chim Acta*. 2007;360(5):1616-20.
- [56] Zhang C, Zhang C, Chen Y. Two extended architectures formed by Anderson-type polyoxometalates and Zr^{4+}/Ce^{3+} -1,2-diaminoethanetetraacetate complexes. *Solid State Sci*. 2011;13(5):1122-6.
- [57] Huang X, Xu X, Pan W, Zeng R. Poly[aqua- $[\mu-N'$ -(carboxymethyl)ethylenediamine-*N,N,N'*-triacetato]-neodymium(III)]. *Acta Cryst E*. 2008;64(9):m1194.
- [58] Zhou G, Wu G, Deng Z, Chen X. Poly-[aqua $[\mu_3-N'$ -(carboxymethyl)ethylenediamine-*N,N,N'*-triacetato]-samarium(III)]. *Acta Cryst E*. 2008;64(10):m1348.
- [59] Li L, Feng C, Zhang Y. Hydrothermal synthesis, crystal structure and magnetic properties of a new 2D gadolinium(III) complex: $\{[Gd(HEDTA)] \cdot 3H_2O\}_n$. *Chin J Inorg Chem*. 2011;27(5):984-8.
- [60] Zhuang X, Long Q, Wang J, Poly[[$[\mu_3-N'$ -(carboxymethyl)ethylenediamine-*N,N,N'*-triacetato]-dysprosium(III)] trihydrate], *Acta Cryst E*. 2010;66(11):m1436.
- [61] You X, Ng, S. W. Poly[hydronium [dysprosium(III)- μ_3 -(ethylenediaminetetraacetato- $\kappa^8N,N',O,O',O'',O'''$: O'''' : O'''''')] monohydrate]. *Acta Cryst E*. 2007;63(7):m1819.
- [62] You X, Wang L, Ng S. W. Poly[hydronium $[\mu$ -(ethylenediaminetetraacetato- $\kappa^8N,N',O,O',O'',O'''$: O'''' : O'''''')]holmate(III)] monohydrate]. *Acta Cryst E*. 2007;63(6):m1714.
- [63] You X, Wang L, Ng SW. Poly[hydronium $[\mu$ -(ethylenediaminetetraacetato- $\kappa^8N,N',O,O',O'',O'''$: O'''' : O'''''')]erbate(III)] monohydrate]. *Acta Cryst E*. 2007;63(6):m1713.
- [64] Guo Y, Hou Y, Dong X, Yang Y, Xia W, Weng W, Zhou Z. Well-defined lanthanum ethylenediaminetetraacetates as the precursors of catalysts for the oxidative coupling of methane. *Inorg Chim Acta*. 2015;434:221-9.
- [65] Wang S, Xie Z, Dong X, Zhou Z. Gel self-assembly of lanthanum aminopolycarboxylates with skeleton structures and adsorptions of gases. *New J Chem*. 2021;45(36):16816-21.
- [66] Chen S, Wang C, Li D, Wang X. Study on some biologically active coordination compounds of metal

- ions (I) – synthesis, characterization, structure and antitumor activity of complex of 3,6-di-(dimethylamino)-dibenzopyridonium lanthanum EDTA. *Sci Chin Ser B Chem Life Sci Earth Sci.* 1989;32(8): 918-26.
- [67] Lin R, Shi Y, Hou Y, Xia W, Weng W, Zhou Z. Highly water-soluble dimeric and trimeric lanthanide carbonates with ethylenediaminetetraacetates as precursors of catalysts for the oxidative coupling reaction of methane. *New J Chem.* 2022;46(8):3707-15.
- [68] Pradeep R, Naresh K, Hussain TMA, Vikram L, Sivasankar BN. Crystal and molecular structure of novel binuclear ten coordinated praseodymium(III) with octadentate ethylenediaminetetraacetate – synthesis, characterization and antioxidant, antiviral and anticancer activities. *J Saudi Chem Soc.* 2017;21(3): 358-65.
- [69] Song W, Yan J, Wang H, Ji L, Ma D, Ng SW. Hydro(solvo)thermal synthesis and structural characterization of three lanthanide–carboxylate coordination polymers based on BDC and/or EDTA. *J Coord Chem.* 2010;63(4):625-33.
- [70] Lu T, Wang X, Cheng L, Chen C, Shi H, Zheng J, Zheng X. Soft metal–organic frameworks based on {Na@Ln₆} as a secondary building unit featuring a magnetocaloric effect and fluorescent sensing for cyclohexane and Fe³⁺. *Cryst Growth Des.* 2021;21(12): 7065-74.
- [71] Chen M, Gao S, Zhou Z. Isolations and characterization of highly water-soluble dimeric lanthanide citrate and malate with ethylenediaminetetraacetate. *Dalton Trans.* 2012;41(4):1202-9.
- [72] Wang S, Gao S, Dai J, Shi Y, Dong Y, Weng W, Zhou Z. Carbonate and phosphite encaged in frameworks constructed from square lanthanum aminopolycarboxylates and sodium chloride. *Dalton Trans.* 2019;48(9):2959-66.
- [73] Shi Y, Lin R, Chen M, Dong X, Li H, Weng W, Zhou Z. Highly water-soluble ternary citrate and malate lanthanide ethylenediaminetetraacetates with carbonate. *J Mol Struct.* 2022;1254:132303.
- [74] Barnes JC, Blyth CS, Paton JD, Smith IGB. Dimeric Ce(IV) peroxide complexes. Crystal structures of hexapotassium disodium bis-μ-peroxohexacarbonate-dicerate(IV) 8-hydrate and dipotassium disodium bis-μ-peroxobis(ethylenediamine-*N,N,N',N'*-tetraacetate)-dicerate(IV) 13-hydrate. *Lanth Act Res.* 1990; 3:181-93.
- [75] Pook N, Adam A. Synthesis, crystal structure, and vibrational spectra of five novel peroxidocerate(IV) and the occurrence of a new complex unit in K₈[Ce₂(O₂)₃(NTA)₂]₂·20H₂O. *Z Anorg Allg Chem.* 2014; 640(14):2931-8.
- [76] Lu T, Xu H, Cheng L, Wang X, Chen C, Cao L, Zhuang G, Zheng J, Zheng X. Family of nanoclusters, Ln₃₃ (Ln = Sm/Eu) and Gd₃₂, exhibiting magnetocaloric effects and fluorescence sensing for MnO₄⁻. *Inorg Chem.* 2022;61(23):8861-9.
- [77] Starynowicz P. Synthesis and structure of trisodium (ethylenediaminetetraacetate)europate(II) chloride heptahydrate. *J Alloys Compd.* 1998;269(1-2):67-70.
- [78] Janicki R, Mondry A, Starynowicz P. A new complex of Europium(II) with edta – structure and spectroscopy. *Z Anorg Allg Chem.* 2005;631(12):2475-7.
- [79] Gao S, Chen M, Zhou Z. Substitution of gadolinium ethylenediaminetetraacetate with phosphites: towards gadolinium deposit in nephrogenic systemic fibrosis. *Dalton Trans.* 2014;43(2):639-45.
- [80] Gracheva EV, Vologzhanina AV, Smirnova ES, Tunik SP. Synthesis and crystal structure of Na₄[Er₂(EDTA)₂(μ₂-C₂O₄)]₂·8H₂O (where EDTA stands for ethylenediamine-*N,N,N',N'*-tetraacetate). *Russ J Inorg Chem.* 2011;56(7):1046-9.
- [81] Janicki R, Mondry A. Structural and thermodynamic aspects of water–carbonate exchange equilibrium for M^{III/IV}–EDTA–carbonate systems. *Inorg Chem Front.* 2019;6(1):153-63.
- [82] Truong K, Müller P, Dronskowski R, Englert U. Dynamic uptake and release of water in the mixed-metal EDTA complex M₃[Yb(EDTA)(CO₃)] (M = K, Rb, Cs). *Cryst Growth Des.* 2017;17(1):80-8.