

1 Microbial Systematics (Short Communication)

2
3 **The Generic Circumscription of *Eremothecium* emend. Kurtzman**

4
5 Yuzo Yamada^{1,2,3}

6
7 ¹BIOTEC Culture Collection (BCC), National Center for Genetic Engineering and
8 Biotechnology (BIOTEC), National Science and Technology Development Agency
9 (NSTDA), 113 Thailand Science Park, Phaholyothin Road, Klong 1, Klong Luang,
10 Pathumthani 12120, Thailand

11 ²JICA Senior Overseas Volunteer, Japan International Cooperation Agency, Shibuya-ku,
12 Tokyo 151-8559, Japan

13 ³Laboratory of Applied Microbiology (Professor Emeritus), Department of Agricultural
14 Chemistry, Faculty of Agriculture, Shizuoka University, 836 Ohya, Suruga-ku, Shizuoka
15 422-8529, Japan

16
17 E-mail: ymdy333@kdt.biglobe.ne.jp

18 ORCID, 0000-0002-2799-7045

19
20 **Keywords:** *Crebrothecium ashbyi*; *Nematospora coryli*; *Eremothecium cymbalariae*;
21 *Ashbya gossypii*; *Holleya sinecauda*.

22
23 **Abstract**

24 In the family Eremotheciaceae, the only genus *Eremothecium* emend. Kurtzman included
25 five species. However, the calculated pair-wise sequence similarities were very low (93.8-
26 96.8%) among the five species, indicating that the emended genus was not taxonomic
27 homogeneous-natured. Therefore, it is adequate to accommodate the five species to their
28 own separate five genera.

29 **Supplementary Abstract**

The subfamily Eremothecioideae

Genus I *Eremothecium* sensu stricto *Eremothecium cymbalariae* Borzi (1888)

Genus II *Nematospora* *Nematospora coryli* Peglion (1897)

Genus III *Ashbya* *Ashbya gossypii* (Ashby et Nowell) Guilliellmond (1928)

Genus IV *Crebrothecium* *Crebrothecium ashbyi* Routien (1949)

Genus V *Holleya* *Holleya sinecauda* (Holley) Yamada (1986)

The family Eremotheciaceae

Genus *Eremothecium* emend. *Eremothecium cymbalariae* Borzi (1888)

Eremothecium coryli (Peglion) Kurtzman (1995)

Eremothecium gossypii (Ashby et Nowell) Kurtzman (1995)

Eremothecium ashbyi (Guilliellmond) Kurtzman (1995)

Eremothecium sinecaudum (Holley) Kurtzman (1995)

30

31 The genus *Holleya* was introduced based on the exceptional distribution of
32 ubiquinone-9 (Q-9) as a respiratory quinone in the species, *Holleya sinecauda* (= *Nematospora sinecauda* Holley) (Yamada 1986).
33
34

35 On the phylogenetic analysis based on the partial base sequencing (Yamada and
36 Nagahama 1991), *Holleya sinecauda* represented considerable base differences (seven
37 bases) from *Nematospora coryli* (Q-5 or Q-6; Yamada et al. 1977, 1981) in positions 1451
38 -1618 (168 bases) of the 18S rRNA, demonstrating that the genus *Holleya* was
39 phylogenetically separable from the genus *Nematospora*. Incidentally, the base differences
40 were only four between *Nematospora coryli* and *Saccharomyces cerevisiae* and eight
41 between *Holleya sinecauda* and *Saccharomyces cerevisiae*.
42

43 On the other hand, Kurtzman (1995) compared the genera *Ashbya*, *Eremothecium*,
44 *Holleya* and *Nematospora* by use of the 580 base-sequences near the 5' end of 26S rDNA.
45 The experimental results showed that the four genera were closely related and the taxa was
46 little divergence. As a conclusion, all the species concerned were placed in the single
47 genus *Eremothecium* emend. Kurtzman.
48

49 This paper deals with the sequence data proposed by Kurtzman (1995) and gives the
50 different conclusion that the four genera (or five genera) are not closely related but
51 phylogenetically independent from one another and constitute their taxonomic
52 homogeneous-natured taxa.
53

54 The first of all, the pair-wise sequence similarities were calculated among the five
55 species. The calculated similarities were 93.8-96.8%, which did not exceed 98% or more
56 (Table 1). For example, the phylogenetic relationship was 98.1% between *Octosporomyces*
57 *octosporus* (= *Schizosaccharomyces octosporus*, Schizosaccharomycetaceae) and
58 *Octosporomyces osmophilus* (= *Scghizosaccharomyces osmophilus*) in the 26S rRNA gene
59 D1/D2 domain sequences (Huong et al. 2022a), was 98.0% between *Kockiozyma*
60 *suomiensis* and *Myxozyma geophila* (Lipomycetaceae) (Yamada et al. 2022) in the same
61 sequence region, and were 98.7-99.5% between *Kockiozyma suomiensis* and the related
62 *Myxozyma* species in the 18S rRNA gene sequences (Huong et al. 2022b). The 98% or
63 more is assumed to be one of the most important markers to designate the taxonomic
64 homogeneous-natured genus.
65

66 For example, the calculated sequence similarity between *Holleya sinecauda* and
67 *Eremothecium cymbalariae* was 94.8%, which was much lower than that (95.7%) between
68 *Dipodascopsis uninucleata* and *Lipomyces starkeyi* (Yamada et al. 2022). In addition, the
69 similarity (95.2%) between *Holleya sinecauda* and *Nematospora coryli* was almost the
70 same as that (95.2%) between *Waltomyce lipofer* and *Lipomyces starkeyi*.
71

72 As described above, the five species assigned to the genus *Eremothecium* emend.
73 Kurtzman were adequate to be classified into five genera respectively, as once done by
74 classical yeast taxonomists. The five species were uniquely equipped with chemotaxo-
75 nomically complicated isoprenoid quinone homologues (Q-5, Q-6, Q-7 and Q-9, Yamada
76 et al. 1977, 1981, 1987) and with morphologically very complicated needle-shaped
77 ascospores, in contrast to other members (Q-6) of the family Saccharomycetaceae. To
78 distinguish the taxonomic small and unique group, the subfamily Eremothecioideae rather
79 than the family Eremotheciaceae was appropriately given (Kurtzman 1995, 2011).

80
81 The subfamily Eremothecioideae subfam. nov., the family Saccharomycetaceae

82
83 Genus I *Eremothecium* Borzi MB1883
84 *Eremothecium cymbalariae* Borzi (1888) MB235811
85 Q-7(Q-6)-equipped.

86
87 Genus II *Nematospora* Peglion MB3441
88 *Nematospora coryli* Peglion (1897) MB222583
89 Synonym: *Eremothecium coryli* (Peglion) Kurtzman (1995)
90 Q-6-equipped (Q-5 only in the type strain).

91
92 Genus III *Ashbya* Guilliellmond MB389
93 *Ashbya gossypii* (Ashby et Nowell) Guilliellmond (1928) MB266255
94 Synonym: *Eremothecium gossypii* (Ashby et Nowell) Kurtzman (1995)
95 Q-6-equipped.

96
97 Genus IV *Crebrothecium* Routien MB1283
98 *Crebrothecium ashbyi* Routien (1949) MB266255
99 Synonym: *Eremothecium ashbyi* (Guilliellmond) Kurtzman (1995)
100 Q-6(Q-7)-equipped.

101
102 Genus V *Holleya* Yamada MB25105
103 *Holleya sinecauda* (Holley) Yamada (1986) MB131133
104 Synonym: *Eremothecium sinecaudum* (Holley) Kurtzman (1995)
105 Q-9(Q-8)-equipped.

106
107 As described above, the five species classified in the subfamily Eremothecioideae
108 were quite different from other members (Q-6) of the family Saccharomycetaceae. The
109 complex distribution of isoprenoid quinone homologues and needle-shaped ascospore
110 ornamentation in the genus *Eremothecium* emend. was reasonable, since the emended
111 genus was not so taxonomic homogeneous-natured.

113 Kurtzman and Robnett (1998) described that the ascus morphology, ascospore
114 ornamentation and composition of coenzyme Q were unreliable predictors of kinship in
115 the paraphyletic genus *Dipodascopsis*. Of the three, the coenzyme Q homologues or the
116 ubiquinone homologues play an important role as taxonomic markers. Of the remaining
117 two, the ascus morphology and the ascospore ornamentation gave valuable taxonomic
118 information in the five species.

119

120 The type strain of *Nematospora coryli* showed Q-5 (Yamada et al. 1981). The other
121 strains examined did Q-6. According to the present author's experiences, such an
122 exceptional distribution of ubiquinone homologues has been restricted to only one species
123 and one strain. Therefore, the isoprenoid quinone homologues have been widely utilized
124 as important microbial taxonomic criteria for Gram-negative and Gram-positive bacteria
125 and also yeasts and fungi.

126

127 Acknowledgements

128 The present author expresses his sincere thanks for citing the experimental data of the
129 author.

130

131 Funding information

132 The present author received no grant from any funding agency.

133

134 **References**

135 Kurtzman, C.P. (1995) Relationships among the genera *Ashbya*, *Eremothecium*, *Holleya*
136 and *Nematospora* determined from rDNA sequence divergence. *J. Industr. Microbiol.*
137 **14**: 523-530.

138 Kurtzman, C.P. (2011) Discussion of teleomorphic and anamorphic ascomycetous yeasts
139 and yeast-like taxa. In: Kurtzman, C.P., Fell, J.W. and Boekhout, T. (ed). *The Yeasts: A*
140 *Taxonomic Study*, 5th edition, vol. 2. London: Elsevier, p. 293-307.

141 Kurtzman, C.P. and Robnett, C.J. (1998) Identification and phylogeny of ascomycetous
142 yeasts from analysis of nuclear large subunit (26S) ribosomal DNA partial sequences.
143 *Antonie van Leeuwenhoek* **73**: 331-371.

144 Vu, H.T.L., Yukphan, P., Tanasupawat, S., Mikata, K. and Yamada, Y. (2022a) The
145 revision of Schizosacchromycetaceae. *Jxiv* (DOI: <https://doi.org/10.51094/jxiv.188>).

146 Vu, H.T.L., Yukphan, P., Tanasupawat, S. and Yamada, Y. (2022b) The generic
147 circumscription of *Kockiozyma* (Lipomycetaceae). *Jxiv* (DOI:
148 <https://doi.org/10.51094/jxiv.221>).

149 Yamada, Y. (1986) *Holleya* gen. nov., an ascosporogenous yeast genus for the Q9-
150 equipped organism whose ascospores are needle-shaped with smooth faces in their
151 anterior half and concentric ridges in their posterior half and without appendages. *J.*
152 *Gen. Appl. Microbiol.* **32**: 447-449.

153 Yamada, Y. and Nagahama, T. (1991) The molecular phylogeny of the ascomycetous yeast
 154 genus *Holleya* Yamada based on the partial sequences of 18S and 26S ribosomal
 155 ribonucleic acids. *J. Gen. Appl. Microbiol.* **37**: 199-206.

156 Yamada, Y., Arimoto, M. and Kondo, K. (1977) Coenzyme Q system in the classification
 157 of some ascosporegenous genera in the families Saccharomycetaceae and
 158 Spermophthoraceae. *Antonie van Leeuwenhoek* **43**: 65-71.

159 Yamada, Y., Sasaki, J., Hou, C.F., Tahara, Y., Miranda, M. and Phaff, H.J. (1981) A new
 160 natural quinone, ubiquinone-5 isolated from an ascosporegenous yeast species,
 161 *Nematospora coryli*. *Agric. Biol. Chem.* **45**: 2671-2673.

162 Yamada, Y., Banno, I., von Arx, J.A. and van der Walt, J.P. (1987) Taxonomic significance
 163 of the coenzyme Q system in yeasts and yeast-like fungi. In: de Hoog, G.S., Smith,
 164 M.Th. and Weijman, A.C.M. (ed). *The Expanding Realm of Yeast-like Fungi*.
 165 Amsterdam: Elsevier, p. 299-308.

166 Yamada, Y., Vu, H.T.L, Yukphan, P. and Tanasupawat, S. (2022) The revision of
 167 Lipomycetaceae. *Jxiv* (DOI: <https://doi.org/10.51094/jxiv.189>).

168
 169
 170
 171
 172
 173
 174
 175

Table 1. The pair-wise sequence similarity in *Eremothecium* and related species.

Species	1	2	3	4	5
1. <i>Ashbya gossypii</i>					
2. <i>Crebrothecium ashbyi</i>	94.8				
3. <i>Eremothecium cymbalariae</i>	96.2	96.6			
4. <i>Nematospora coryli</i>	95.7	94.7	96.2		
5. <i>Holleya sinecauda</i>	93.8	94.3	94.8	95.2	
6. <i>Saccharomyces cerevisiae</i>	88.8	88.6	88.4	86.9	86.7

All were the type strains or the authentic strains in the respective species (Kurtzman 1995).
 The calculated sequence similarity (%) was based on the 26S rDNA (positions 63-642, 580
 bases).

176 The original data of base substitution rates were cited from Kurtzman (1995).
 177
 178
 179
 180
 181