

# Data for Brain Reference Architecture of AF24Hippocampus-Amygdala

## Integrating BRA for Spatial Cognition and Fear Conditioning

Akira Taniguchi<sup>a\*</sup>, Atsushi Fujii<sup>a</sup>, Takeshi Nakashima<sup>b</sup>,  
Tatsuya Miyamoto<sup>c</sup>, Yoshimasa Tawatsuji<sup>d,e</sup>, and Hiroshi Yamakawa<sup>d,e</sup>

<sup>a</sup> College of Information Science and Engineering, Ritsumeikan University, Osaka, Japan

<sup>b</sup> Graduate School of Information Science and Engineering, Ritsumeikan University, Osaka, Japan

<sup>c</sup> Waseda University, 3-4-1 Okubo, Shinjuku-ku 169-0072, Japan

<sup>d</sup> The Whole Brain Architecture Initiative, Tokyo, Japan

<sup>e</sup> School of Engineering, The University of Tokyo, Tokyo, Japan

\*Corresponding author: Akira Taniguchi; [a.taniguchi@em.ci.ritsumei.ac.jp](mailto:a.taniguchi@em.ci.ritsumei.ac.jp)

### Abstract

The hippocampal formation plays a pivotal role in spatial cognition and episodic memory, while the amygdala is essential for adaptive fear conditioning. We have developed a brain reference architecture (BRA) data format by integrating “TM24Amygdala\_ver4” (based on “YM24Amygdala”) and “TN24HippocampalFormation” BRA data. This BRA data expands on previous BRA models by incorporating new brain information flow (BIF) that captures the connections between the hippocampus and amygdala. The constructed BIF provides a basis for defining higher-order functions related to spatial cognition and fear conditioning. These improvements deepen our understanding of the anatomical structure linking these regions and their interconnected functions. The BRA repository provides comprehensive access to these data, supporting further research into the functional and structural relationships between the hippocampal formation and the amygdala. This work not only advances our understanding of each region’s individual role but also provides insights into how their interaction shapes complex cognitive and emotional processes.

**Keywords:** Amygdala; Brain Reference Architecture; Fear Conditioning; Hippocampal Formation; Spatial Cognition

### Author roles:

**Akira Taniguchi** Writing-original draft, Conceptualization, Data curation, Investigation, Project administration, Funding acquisition

**Atsushi Fujii** Data curation, Investigation

**Takeshi Nakashima** Data curation, Investigation

**Tatsuya Miyamoto** Data curation, Investigation

**Yoshimasa Tawatsuji** Conceptualization, Data curation, Investigation, Project administration

**Hiroshi Yamakawa** Conceptualization, Data curation, Investigation, Project administration, Funding acquisition

## 1 Context

This data paper reports Brain Reference Architecture (BRA) data on spatial cognition in the hippocampal formation and fear conditioning in the amygdala. BRA data is usually limited to individual areas with specific functions, but to construct a whole BRA, it is important to construct BRA data for the connections between different areas. This is the first attempt to integrate BRA data.

**Hippocampal formation** In neuroscience and cognitive science, knowledge has accumulated on how hippocampal formation contributes to the spatial cognition of organisms. [Tolman \(1948\)](#) demonstrated that rats searching for food in an environment learn spatial representations that enable flexible behavior in response to

familiar path obstructions, rather than simple stimulus-response associations, and he termed this spatial representation a cognitive map. Later, various cells supporting the cognitive map, such as place and grid cells, were reported to exist in the hippocampal formation (O’Keefe & Nadel, 1979).

Taniguchi, Fukawa, and Yamakawa (2022) integrated neuroscientific knowledge of hippocampal formation (HF) with engineering knowledge from robotics, specifically simultaneous localization and mapping (SLAM), to propose a probabilistic generative model for navigation in uncertain environments. The hippocampal formation-inspired probabilistic generative model (HF-PGM) is designed to closely match the anatomical structure and functions of the hippocampal formation.

**Amygdala** The amygdala is a critical brain region involved in the processing of emotions, particularly fear (Maren, 2001). Fear conditioning is when an innocuous conditioned stimulus is paired with a noxious unconditioned stimulus, leading to a fear response to the previously innocuous conditioned stimulus. This process is modulated by the hippocampal CA1 region, which processes contextual information—such as environmental cues, temporal context, and physiological state—to ensure that fear responses are appropriate to the specific context.

**Integrationg BRA** We have developed a BRA data format by integrating “TM24Amygdala\_ver4” and “TN24HippocampalFormation” BRA data. Nakashima, Taniguchi, Fukawa, and Yamakawa (2024) have constructed “TN24HippocampalFormation” BRA data. Tatsuya Miyamoto constructed “TM24Amygdala\_ver4” based on “YM24Amygdala” BRA data, which Maruyama, Miyamoto, Tawatsuji, and Yamakawa (2024) have been constructed. This BRA data expands on previous BRA models by incorporating new brain information flow (BIF) that captures the connections between the hippocampus and amygdala.

## 2 Method

**BRA-driven development/SCID method** BRA-driven development is a methodology for building software based on brain architecture (Yamakawa, 2021). It acknowledges that neuroscience knowledge is still insufficient to elucidate the whole picture and constructs a hypothetical software architecture using anatomical structures as constraints. The structure-constrained interface decomposition (SCID) method was used to design software that was consistent with the brain’s structure and function, as obtained through neuroscience. The software consisted of the following three steps:

Step 1. Brain Information Flow (BIF) construction.

Step 2. Consistent determination of the region of interest (ROI) and top-level function (TLF).

Step 3. HCD creation.

Step 3-1. Enumerating candidate component diagram.

Step 3-2. Rejecting diagrams that are inconsistent with scientific knowledge.

You can see more details about these steps in (Yamakawa, 2021). As the computational model verification of HF-PGM is not within the scope of the BRA data paper, a detailed explanation is provided in the paper (Nakashima, Otake, et al., 2024; Taniguchi et al., 2022).

**Brain information flow (BIF)** In this data, the BIF is constructed using the SCID method. Figure 1 shows the ROI and BIF of this study. Table 1 shows the abbreviation list of BRA data.

Here, we will describe the circuits added to the BRA data. First, CA1-Context in TM24Amygdala was changed to CA1\_ventral. Then, S\_ventral was added. CA1\_distal and CA1\_proximal in TN24HippocampalFormation were defined as sub-regions of CA1\_dorsal (Masurkar, 2018; Paw-Min-Thein-Oo, Sakimoto, Kida, & Mitsushima, 2020). In addition, S\_distal and S\_proximal in TN24HippocampalFormation were defined as sub-regions of S\_dorsal (Park et al., 2024).

Here, we will describe the connections added to the BRA data. CA1\_ventral was known to project to BA\_Fear and BA\_Ext (Pitkänen, Jolkkonen, & Kemppainen, 2000). Additionally, there is a projection from BA to CA1\_ventral (Yang & Wang, 2017). In particular, Yang and Wang (2017) states that “Work in rodents has shown that negative and positive emotion neurons are spatially segregated into the BLA and BLP (Kim, Pignatelli, Xu, Itohara, & Tonegawa, 2016).” Here, BLAa is the anterior part of the basolateral amygdala, and BLAp is the posterior part of the basolateral amygdala. Similarly, S\_ventral has bidirectional projection to BA (Fanselow & Dong, 2010). CA1\_ventral has projections from CA3 and LEC\_III (Lines, Nation, & Fellous, 2014). MEC\_III has projections to CA1\_ventral and S\_ventral (Lines et al., 2014; Ohara et al., 2023). From CA1\_ventral, there are projections to La\_Fear (Lee et al., 2024), S\_ventral (Fanselow & Dong, 2010), S\_dorsal (Park et al., 2024), and MEC\_V (Ohara et al., 2023). There is also a projection from S\_ventral to MEC\_V (Ohara et al., 2023). La\_Fear and BA in the amygdala and LEC\_II, III have bidirectional projections (Wang, Tambini, & Lapate, 2022). BA has a projection to CA3 ventral (Wang et al., 2022), but in this BRA data, it is assumed to be CA3.

It has been suggested that IL and PL within the PFC and BM within the amygdala nucleus are important for fear conditioning and elimination (Asede, Doddapaneni, & Bolton, 2022). Therefore, we added projection with the PFC as an updated version of the BIF in the amygdala.

**Toward the Construction of a Functional Hypothesis** In CA1 and subiculum, spatial information is related to the proximal area, and non-spatial information is related to the distal area. In addition, functional differences exist between the dorsal and ventral areas in CA1 and the subiculum (Masurkar, 2018). The ventral area is mainly connected to the amygdala and is responsible for processing related to anxiety, goal-directedness, fear, and sociality. The dorsal area is responsible for spatial processing, compared to the ventral area.

Wang et al. (2022) suggests two functionally different pathways between the BA and the hippocampus: direct and indirect pathways. The indirect pathway, which passes through the LEC, will likely carry integrated, semantically rich emotional information that includes recent experiences and environmental elements. In direct projection, it plays a role in mediating fear conditioning learning (Fanselow & Dong, 2010).

Although this is outside of the ROI with this BRA, there is also a pathway between the hippocampus and the amygdala that goes via the ventral medial prefrontal cortex (vmPFC) (Sierra-Mercado, Padilla-Coreano, & Quirk, 2010) However, Park et al. (2024) reported that cognitive control in an active place avoidance task is not dependent on mPFC damage.

**Summary** This study revealed that multiple pathways connect the amygdala and hippocampus. The BIFs of the hippocampal formation and amygdala were integrated by connections between the ventral and dorsal hippocampus and an indirect pathway through connections between the amygdala and the LEC, mainly.



Table 1: List of hippocampal and amygdalar field units with updated definitions

| Abbreviation                       | Region Name   |
|------------------------------------|---|
| LEC_I, II, III, IV, V, VI          | Lateral Entorhinal Cortex Layer I, II, III, IV, V, VI   |
| MEC_I, II, III, IV, V(a), V(b), VI | Medial Entorhinal Cortex Layer I, II, III, IV, V(a), V(b), VI   |
| DG                                 | Dentate Gyrus   |
| CA3                                | Cornu Ammonis-3   |
| CA1_dorsal                         | Dorsal Cornu Ammonis-1 Region (Spatial Information)   |
| CA1_proximal                       | Proximal Cornu Ammonis-1 Region   |
| CA1_distal                         | Distal Cornu Ammonis-1 Region   |
| CA1_ventral                        | Ventral Cornu Ammonis-1 Region (Context information)  |
| S_dorsal                           | Dorsal Subiculum  |
| S_proximal                         | Proximal Subiculum  |
| S_distal                           | Distal Subiculum  |
| S_ventral                          | Ventral Subiculum   |
| BA                                 | Basal Nucleus of the Amygdala   |
| ↔ BA_Fear                          | Fear Cell of the BA   |
| ↔ BA_Ext                           | Extinction Cell of the BA   |
| La                                 | Lateral Nucleus of the Amygdala   |
| ↔ La_Fear                          | Fear Part of the La   |
| CEm                                | Medial Central Amygdala   |
| INA                                | Intercalated Cells of the Amygdala  |
| ↔ INAvm                            | Ventromedial INA  |
| ↔ INAdm                            | Dorsomedial INA   |
| BM                                 | Basomedial nucleus (accessory basal nucleus)  |
| ↔ BMA                              | Basomedial amygdala   |
| PFC                                | Prefrontal Cortex   |
| ↔ PFC_PL                           | Prelimbic mPFC  |
| ↔ PFC_IL                           | Infralimbic mPFC  |
| A35                                | Perirhinal Cortex   |
| VISpor                             | Postrhinal Cortex   |
| RSC                                | Retrosplenial Cortex  |
| PaS                                | Parasubiculum   |
| PrS                                | Presubiculum  |
| MSN                                | Medial septum   |
| NCx_THM                            | Conditioned Stimulus of Fear Conditioning (Sensory information about the external world; neutral stimuli, preconditioned stimuli) |
| MGm_PIN                            | Unconditioned Stimulus of Fear Conditioning (Aversive Stimuli)  |

### 3 Dataset Description

**Repository location** BRA Editorial System (BRAES) <https://sites.google.com/wba-initiative.org/braes/data>

**Object name and versions** Please refer to the “Project” sheet in the BRA data for the more detail of data summary.

**Creation dates** The start and end dates of when the data was created (2024-09-16 to 2025-01-24).

**Language** English.

**License** The open license under which the data has been deposited (CC-BY 4.0).

**Publication date** 2025-01-24.

Table 2: BRA DATA SUMMARY

| BRA Data                     |               |                      |         |
|------------------------------|---------------|----------------------|---------|
| Object Name                  | Template      | Including Content(s) |         |
|                              |               | BIF                  | HCD/FRG |
| AF24Hippocampus-Amygdala.bra | version 2-1-1 | ✓                    | -       |

Table 3: BRA IMAGE SUMMARY

| Graphic Files: BIF Image |                                 |
|--------------------------|---------------------------------|
| File Type                | Object Name                     |
| BIF Image                | AF24Hippocampus-AmygdalaBIF.xml |

## 4 Caveats for Data Usage

It should be noted that the current BRA data focuses on spatial cognition functions and proposes hypothetical FRG and HCD. The hippocampus is also considered to play an important role in higher cognitive functions such as episodic memory.

## Funding Statement

This research was partially supported by JSPS KAKENHI Grants-in-Aid for Scientific Research, grant numbers JP22H05159 and JP23K16975.

## Competing interests

Yoshimasa Tawatsuji and Hiroshi Yamakawa are managers of BRAES but did not take part in the editorial process or decisions pertaining to this manuscript. The other author(s) has/have no competing interests to declare.

## References

- Asede, D., Doddapaneni, D., & Bolton, M. M. (2022, December). Amygdala intercalated cells: Gate keepers and conveyors of internal state to the circuits of emotion. *The Journal of Neuroscience*, 42(49), 9098–9109. Retrieved from <http://dx.doi.org/10.1523/JNEUROSCI.1176-22.2022> DOI: 10.1523/jneurosci.1176-22.2022
- Fanselow, M. S., & Dong, H.-W. (2010). Are the dorsal and ventral hippocampus functionally distinct structures? *Neuron*, 65(1), 7–19. Retrieved from <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.neuron.2009.11.031> (PMCID: PMC2822727, NIHMSID: NIHMS163144) DOI: 10.1016/j.neuron.2009.11.031
- Kim, J., Pignatelli, M., Xu, S., Itohara, S., & Tonegawa, S. (2016). Antagonistic negative and positive neurons of the basolateral amygdala. *Nature Neuroscience*, 19, 1636–1646. Retrieved from <https://www.nature.com/articles/nn.4414> DOI: 10.1038/nn.4414
- Lee, J., Park, J., Jeong, M., Oh, S.-J., Yoon, J.-H., & Oh, Y.-S. (2024, July). Anatomical topology of extrahippocampal projections from dorsoventral ca pyramidal neurons in mice. *Frontiers in Neuroanatomy*, 18. Retrieved from <http://dx.doi.org/10.3389/fnana.2024.1421034> DOI: 10.3389/fnana.2024.1421034
- Lines, J., Nation, K., & Fellous, J.-M. (2014). A connectionist model of context-based memory reconsolidation in the hippocampus: the role of sleep. *BMC Neuroscience*, 15, P163. Retrieved from <https://doi.org/10.1186/1471-2202-15-S1-P163> DOI: 10.1186/1471-2202-15-S1-P163
- Maren, S. (2001). Neurobiology of pavlovian fear conditioning. *Annual Review of Neuroscience*, 24, 897–931. Retrieved from <https://www.annualreviews.org/content/journals/10.1146/annurev.neuro.24.1.897> DOI: 10.1146/annurev.neuro.24.1.897
- Maruyama, Y., Miyamoto, T., Tawatsuji, Y., & Yamakawa, H. (2024). Data for Brain Reference Architecture of YM24Amygdala. In *The first international whole brain architecture workshop*.
- Masurkar, A. V. (2018). Towards a circuit-level understanding of hippocampal cal dysfunction in alzheimer’s disease across anatomical axes. *Journal of Alzheimer’s Disease and Parkinsonism*, 08(01). Retrieved from <http://dx.doi.org/10.4172/2161-0460.1000412> DOI: 10.4172/2161-0460.1000412

- Nakashima, T., Otake, S., Taniguchi, A., Maeyama, K., El Hafi, L., Taniguchi, T., & Yamakawa, H. (2024, jul). Hippocampal formation-inspired global self-localization: quick recovery from the kidnapped robot problem from an egocentric perspective. *Frontiers in Computational Neuroscience*, *18*, 1398851. Retrieved from <https://nakashimatakeshi.github.io/HF-IGL/>. DOI: 10.3389/FN-COM.2024.1398851
- Nakashima, T., Taniguchi, A., Fukawa, A., & Yamakawa, H. (2024). Data for Brain Reference Architecture of TN24HippocampalFormation: Neural architecture for spatial cognition. In *The first international whole brain architecture workshop*.
- Ohara, S., Rannap, M., Tsutsui, K.-I., Draguhn, A., Egorov, A. V., & Witter, M. P. (2023). Hippocampal-medial entorhinal circuit is differently organized along the dorsoventral axis in rodents. *Cell Reports*, *42*(1), 112001. Retrieved from <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.celrep.2023.112001> DOI: 10.1016/j.celrep.2023.112001
- O’Keefe, J., & Nadel, L. (1979). Précis of o’keefe & nadel’s the hippocampus as a cognitive map. *Behavioral and Brain Sciences*, *2*(4), 487–494. DOI: 10.1017/S0140525X00063949
- Park, E. H., O’Reilly Sparks, K. C., Grubbs, G., Taborga, D., Nicholas, K., Ahmed, A. S., ... Fenton, A. A. (2024). Cognitive control of behavior and hippocampal information processing without medial prefrontal cortex. *eLife*, *13*, RP104475. Retrieved from <https://doi.org/10.7554/eLife.RP104475> DOI: 10.7554/eLife.RP104475
- Paw-Min-Thein-Oo, Sakimoto, Y., Kida, H., & Mitsushima, D. (2020, June). Proximodistal heterogeneity in learning-promoted pathway-specific plasticity at dorsal cal synapses. *Neuroscience*, *437*, 184–195. Retrieved from <http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.neuroscience.2020.04.040> DOI: 10.1016/j.neuroscience.2020.04.040
- Pitkänen, A., Jolkkonen, E., & Kempainen, S. (2000). Anatomic heterogeneity of the rat amygdaloid complex. *Folia morphologica*, *59*(1), 1–23.
- Sierra-Mercado, D., Padilla-Coreano, N., & Quirk, G. J. (2010, October). Dissociable roles of prelimbic and infralimbic cortices, ventral hippocampus, and basolateral amygdala in the expression and extinction of conditioned fear. *Neuropsychopharmacology*, *36*(2), 529–538. Retrieved from <http://dx.doi.org/10.1038/npp.2010.184> DOI: 10.1038/npp.2010.184
- Taniguchi, A., Fukawa, A., & Yamakawa, H. (2022). Hippocampal formation-inspired probabilistic generative model. *Neural Networks*, *151*, 317–335. Retrieved from <https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S0893608022001332> DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.neunet.2022.04.001>
- Tolman, E. C. (1948). Cognitive maps in rats and men. *Psychological review*, *55*(4), 189.
- Wang, J., Tambini, A., & Lapate, R. C. (2022). The tie that binds: temporal coding and adaptive emotion. *Trends in Cognitive Sciences*, *26*(12), 1103–1118. Retrieved from <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tics.2022.09.005> DOI: 10.1016/j.tics.2022.09.005
- Yamakawa, H. (2021). The whole brain architecture approach: Accelerating the development of artificial general intelligence by referring to the brain. *Neural Networks*, *144*, 478–495. DOI: 10.1016/j.neunet.2021.09.004
- Yang, Y., & Wang, J.-Z. (2017, October). From structure to behavior in basolateral amygdala-hippocampus circuits. *Frontiers in Neural Circuits*, *11*. Retrieved from <http://dx.doi.org/10.3389/fncir.2017.00086> DOI: 10.3389/fncir.2017.00086