1	Title: Association analysis between type 2 diabetes and the gut microbiota: a community-
2	based cross-sectional study in Japan
3	
4	Authors: Koji NAGASHIMA ¹ , Takayoshi HISADA ¹ , Jun MOCHIZUKI ¹ , Tatsuya
5	MIKAMI ² , Yoshinori TAMADA ² and Shigeyuki NAKAJI ²
6	¹ TechnoSuruga Laboratory Co., Ltd., 388-1 Nagasaki, Shimizu-ku, Shizuoka 424-0065,
7	Japan
8	² Innovation Center for Health Promotion, Graduate School of Medicine, Hirosaki
9	University, 5 Zaifu-cho, Hirosaki City, Aomori 036-8562, Japan
10	
11	Running head: Association between type 2 diabetes and the gut microbiota
12	
13	Corresponding Author: Koji NAGASHIMA
14	E-mail: <u>koji.nagashima@tecsrg.co.jp</u>
15	
16	Keywords: gut microbiota, type 2 diabetes, Lactobacillales, community-based cross-

17 sectional study

18 Abstract: There are a few studies on the association between type 2 diabetes (T2D) and 19the gut microbiota but no community-based cross-sectional study. In the Iwaki Health 20Promotion Project (Hirosaki, Japan), we surveyed medical information and lifestyle and 21analyzed the gut microbiota using the terminal restriction fragment length 22polymorphism technique or next-generation sequencing. Based on these data, 23multivariable logistic regression analysis was performed with or without T2D as the 24dependent variable. Consequently, it was suggested that the Lactobacillales population 25in the gut microbiota was positively associated with T2D, consistent with the results of 26case-control studies.

27

INTRODUCTION

Type 2 diabetes (T2D) is caused by insulin resistance or impaired insulin secretion. T2D is related to genetic factors and lifestyle, such as overeating or lack of exercise. In Japan, the sum of patients with T2D and potential diabetics was 20 million in 2016 (The National Health and Nutrition Survey in Japan, 2018). The prevalence is higher in males and with increasing years.

Research on the association between various diseases and the gut microbiota has advanced [1] and become increasingly important. Also, case-control studies on the association between T2D and the gut microbiota have been reported [2-10]. These studies commonly indicated an increased *Lactobacillales* population in the fecal microbiota in T2D, so *Lactobacillales* have the potential to be a novel target for prevention and therapy of T2D.

Community-based health examination surveys (in Hirosaki City, Aomori, Japan) have been performed for many years, called "the Iwaki Health Promotion Project" (UMIN ID: UMIN000040459) (11). In this project, about ten hundreds of people participate every year, and data from more than 2000 items related to vital and medical information, dietary habits, and lifestyle are collected. These also include data on the gut microbiota obtained using the terminal restriction fragment length polymorphism (T-RFLP) technique [12, 13] or next-generation sequencing (NGS) [14].

There has been no community-based cross-sectional study on the association between T2D and the gut microbiota. Therefore, in this study, multivariable logistic regression analysis was performed with or without T2D as the dependent variable using Iwaki Health Promotion Project data.

50

51

MATERIALS AND METHODS

52 The Iwaki Health Promotion Project

This survey was intended for the Iwaki Health Promotion Project participants in 53542012, 2014, and 2016. The number of subjects by sex and age is shown in Table 1, in 55which those with healed T2D were excluded because of the difficulty of attributing to 56either of the binary variables. Subjects were inquired about sex, age, medical history, 57drug intake, smoking, alcohol drinking, exercise, etc. Weight and height were measured 58to calculate the body mass index (BMI). The results of inquiries to the subjects with or 59without T2D are shown in Table 2. This study was approved by the Ethics Committee of 60 Hirosaki University Graduate School of Medicine. Written informed consent was obtained from all participants. 61

62

63 Microflora analysis

Fecal DNA was prepared as described previously [15]. Feces were collected into a
4 M guanidine thiocyanate solution, followed by bead-beating and extraction using
magnetic beads. The fecal microbiota was analyzed by T-RFLP [12, 13] or NGS [14],
targeting the 16S rRNA gene.

In silico T-RFLP using bacterial 16S rRNA gene sequences obtained by random cloning analysis of the fecal microbiota provided correspondence between operational taxonomic units (OTUs) in T-RFLP and phylogenetic bacterial groups [12, 13]. This study classified these OTUs into eight bacterial functional groups (B-1–B-8; see Table 2) based on the organic acid-producing activity, which refers to the report of Kettle et al. [16]. Conversion equations are given below.

74 B1 = OTU366 $\times 0.952 + OTU469 \times 0.97 + OTU853$

75 B2 = OTU317

76 $B3 = OTU106 + OTU168 + OTU338 + OTU369 \times 0.33 + OTU494 \times 0.84 + OTU650 + OTU657 \times 0.08 + OTU754 \times 0.52 + OTU920 \times 0.80 + OTU940 \times 0.28 + OTU955$ 78 $\times 0.92$

79
$$B4 = OTU110 \times 0.04 + OTU124$$

80 $B5 = OTU332 + OTU520 + OTU657 \times 0.92$

83 $B7 = OTU110 \times 0.96 + OTU369 \times 0.17 + OTU469 \times 0.03$

84 B8 = OTU369 × 0.17 + OTU494 × 0.02 + OTU505 × 0.5 + OTU754 × 0.38 + OTU920 85 × 0.20 + OTU940 × 0.48 + OTU990

86

87 Multivariable logistic regression analysis

88 Multivariable logistic regression analysis (forward selection method) was 89 performed with or without T2D as the dependent variable. The number of subjects with 90 or without T2D is shown by sex in Table 2. The functional bacterial groups in Table 3 in 91 T-RFLP or seven orders, including Bacteroidales, Bifidobacteriales, Clostridiales, 92Coriobacteriales, Enterobacteriales, Lactobacillales, and Selenomonadales, in NGS were 93the independent variables. The sequences derived from these seven orders accounted for 94>90% of the total. Age, BMI, smoking, alcohol drinking, and exercise were used as 95moderators in the regression analysis. In 2016, when subjects were inquired about drug 96 intake, α -glucosidase inhibitor (α -GI), a diabetes drug, was also used as a moderator. 97 JUSE-StatWorks/V5E (The Institute of JUSE, Tokyo, Japan) was used for statistical 98analysis. The significance level was set at p < 0.05.

99

RESULTS

In Table 2, the T2D ratio in subjects was 3.6% to 4.2% in females and 7.2% to 7.7% in males, which is almost the same level as the ratio of outpatients with T2D to the population (>20 years old in 2016) in Aomori Prefecture: 3.1% in females and 5.4% in males. Moreover, differences between subjects with and without T2D in age, BMI and habits of life were shown.

106 This study used multivariable logistic regression to assess the association between 107T2D and the gut microbiota (OTUs) in the Iwaki Health Promotion Project participant 108population for 3 years. A summary of the results is presented in Table 4. The functional 109bacterial group B-5 (*Lactobacillales*, refer to Table 3) was commonly extracted as a 110 significant variable in males and females in case of 2012 and 2014, in which T-RFLP analysis was performed, with odds ratios [OR; 95% confidence intervals (95% CIs)] was 111 1121.062 (1.025–1.100), 1.068 (1.019–1.20), 1.085 (1.032–1.142), and 1.069 (1.020–1.121), 113respectively. In case of 2016, in which NGS analysis was performed, Lactobacillales was 114a significant variable in males with ORs (95% CIs) of 1.174 (1.019–1.351), but it was an 115insignificant variable in females, with p-value of a slightly over 10% (p = 0.109). The 116 functional bacterial group B-4 (*Bifidobacterium* spp.) was also a significant variable in 117some cases, that is, males and females in 2012 and males in 2014 and 2016, with ORs 118(95% CIs) of 1.150 (1.064 - 1.244), 1.075 (1.007 - 1.146), 1.053 (1.013 - 1.095), and 1.074119(1.024–1.125), respectively. As for the rest, the functional bacterial group B-3 120(Clostridium clusters IV, XI, XIVa, and XVIII) in females in 2014, Bifidobacterium and 121Coriobacteriales in males in 2016, and Bacteroidales in females in 2016 were significant 122variables.

123

124

DISCUSSION

125Although previous studies on the association between T2D and the gut microbiota 126were performed in various countries (Austria, Iran, Denmark, Japan, and Sweden) with 127various techniques (NGS, quantitative polymerase chain reaction, and T-RFLP), a 128positive association with *Lactobacillales* was indicated in any studies, suggesting a 129considerably high certainty of the results. In this study, a multivariable logistic 130regression analysis was performed to assess the association between T2D and the gut 131microbiota in a cross-sectional study intended for people in Hirosaki, Japan. Similar 132results to previous studies were obtained.

133This and previous studies suggested the causal relationship between T2D and 134Lactobacillales, but the cause or result is unknown. First, whether Lactobacillales are 135innate bacteria or bacteria originating from foods, such as yogurt, was discussed. Sato et 136 al. [6] and Adachi et al. [9] considered that these bacteria are innate because the number 137of subjects who consumed vogurt was not significantly different between the control and T2D groups and significantly fewer in the latter group. Furthermore, the number of 138139probiotic bacteria taken from supplements or foods was $\sim 10^{10}$ cells/day. These bacteria 140 cannot colonize and proliferate in the gut to remain a minor population. Therefore, the 141idea that these bacteria are innate is deemed to be appropriate.

Morita et al. [17] indicated that lactate and pyruvate, produced in the small intestinal in a bacteria-dependent manner, enhanced immune responses by inducing dendrite protrusion of intestinal CX3CR1⁺ mononuclear cells. These findings speculated a possible causal relationship as follows: an excess of bacteria, including lactic acid bacteria in the small intestine, induces the overstimulation of immune responses, followed by chronic inflammation, resulting in the T2D onset (18, 19).

148 If that is the case, why do bacteria such as lactic acid bacteria proliferate 149 abnormally in the small intestine? Usually, gastric acid strongly prevents bacterial entry 150to the small intestine from the oral cavity so that almost no bacterial proliferation occurs. 151Therefore, reduced secretion of gastric acid by aging or by taking the medicine for gastric 152hyperacidity that is induced by stress, disturbed eating habit and so on, may result in 153the proliferation of these bacteria in the small intestine followed by their subsequent increase in the large intestine [20-23]. Yuan et al. [24] and Ciardullo et al. [25] have 154155reported that regular and prolonged use of proton pump inhibitors (PPIs) was associated 156with a higher risk of type 2 diabetes. In the Iwaki Health Promotion Project in 2016, we 157also found that the ratio of subjects prescribed PPIs was significantly greater in population with T2D than in that without T2D (Table 2). These findings seem to provide 158159partial support to the above-mentioned speculation.

160On the one hand, some studies suggested that α -GI increases populations of Bifidobacterium spp. and Lactobacillales in the fecal microbiota [6, 9, 10]. In this project 161 162in 2016, the number of subjects with T2D who did or did not take α -GI was 12 (10 males 163and 2 females) and 45 (23 males and 22 females), respectively. *Bifidobacterium* spp. 164population in the fecal microbiota in males was higher in subjects with T2D taking α-GI 165than those not taking α -GI [mean ± standard deviation (SD), 24.3 ± 7.9 vs. 9.3 ± 11.0; p 166= 0.003, Wilcoxon rank-sum test]. Similarly, in *Lactobacillales* in males, the population 167was higher in subjects with T2D taking α -GI (mean ± SD, 29.4 ± 14.6 vs. 8.5 ± 14.9; p <1680.001). No significant difference was observed in females. From these facts, here we accessed using a-GI as an additional moderator for the regression analysis in 2016, in 169170which subjects were inquired about drug intake.

171 On the other hand, α -GI is not involved in the other studies that indicate the 172 positive association between T2D and *Lactobacillales* [5, 7, 8]. Furthermore, the 173 *Lactobacillales* population in the fecal microbiota also increased in a mouse model of 174 insulin resistance [26]. Considering these findings, α -GI is deemed to have only limited 175 effectiveness in this and previous studies on the association between T2D and the gut 176 microbiota.

177	Taken together, multivariable logistic regression analysis was performed in the
178	community-based cross-sectional study to assess the association between T2D and the
179	gut microbiota. It was suggested that the Lactobacillales population was positively
180	related to T2D, as indicated in previous studies. It is expected that future analyses will
181	be performed with more subjects, and studies on the elucidation of the causal
182	relationship are making progress. As such, novel techniques for prevention and therapy
183	targeted to the bacteria are being developed.
184	
185	ACKNOWLEDGMENTS
186	This study was supported by JST COI (grant no. JPMJCE1302). We thanks Mr.
187	Ken-ichi Kudo (Hirosaki University) for advice on statistical analysis.
188	
189	AUTHER CONTRIBUTIONS
190	K.N. played a role in the investigation, conceptualization and writing original
191	draft. T.H. played a role in the data curation, formal analysis and investigation. J.M.,
192	T.M. and Y.T. played a role in the project administration and reviewing. S.N. played a
193	role in the project administration and supervision.
194	
195	CONFLICT OF INTEREST
196	The authors declare no competing interests.

198		DATA AVAILABILITY STATEMENT
199		The data presented in this study are available under the agreement with
200	Inr	novation Center for Health Promotion, Hirosaki University.
201		
202		REFERENCES
203	1.	Wang X, Zhang A, Miao J, Sun H, Yan G, Fang-fang Wu F, Wang X. 2018. Gut
204		microbiota as important modulator of metabolism in health and disease. RSC Adv
205		8: 42380-42389.
206	2.	Larsen N, Vogensen FK, van den Berg FWJ, Nielsen DS. Andreasen AS, Pedersen
207		BK, Al-Soud WA, Sørensen SJ, Hansen LH, Jakobsen M. 2010. Gut microbiota in
208		human adults with type 2 diabetes differs from non-diabetic adults. PLoS ONE 5:
209		e9085.
210	3.	Sasaki M, Ogasawara N, Funaki Y, Mizuno M, Iida A, Goto C, Koikeda S, Kasugai
211		K, Joh T. 2013. Transglucosidase improves the gut microbiota profile of type 2
212		diabetes mellitus patients: a randomized double-blind, placebo-controlled study.
213		BMC Gastroenterol 13: 81.
214	4.	Karlsson F, Tremaroli V, Nookaew I. Bergström G, Behre CJ, Fagerberg B, Nielsen
215		J, Bäckhed F. 2013. Gut metagenome in European women with normal, impaired
216		and diabetic glucose control. Nature 498: 99-103.

217	5.	Remely M, Dworzak S, Hippe B, Zwielehner J, Aumüller E, Brath H, Haslberger A.
218		2013. Abundance and diversity of microbiota in type 2 diabetes and obesity. J
219		Diabetes Metab 4: 253.
220	6.	Sato J, Kanazawa A, Ikeda F, Yoshihara T, Goto H, Abe H, Komiya K, Kawaguchi
221		M, Shimizu T, Ogihara T, Tamura Y, Sakurai Y, Yamamoto R, Mita T, Fujitani Y,
222		Fukuda H, Nomoto K, Takahashi T, Asahara T, Hirose T, Nagata S, Yamashiro Y,
223		Watada H. 2014. Gut dysbiosis and detection of "live gut bacteria" in blood of
224		Japanese patients with type 2 diabetes. Diabetes Care 37: 2343-2350.
225	7.	Hartstra AV, Bouter KEC, Bäckhed F, Nieuwdorp M. Insights into the role of the
226		microbiome in obesity and type 2 diabetes. Diabetes Care. 2015; 38: 159-165.
227	8.	Sedighi M, Razavi S, Navab-Moghadam F, Khamseh ME, Alaei-Shahmirid F,
228		Mehrtashe A, Amirmozafari N. 2017. Comparison of gut microbiota in adult
229		patients with type 2 diabetes. Microbial Pathogenesis 111: 362-369.
230	9.	Adachi K, Sugiyama T, Yamaguchi Y, Tamura Y, Izawa S, Hijikata Y, Ebi M, Funaki
231		Y, Ogasawara N, Goto C, Sasaki M, Kasugai K. 2019. Gut microbiota disorders
232		cause type 2 diabetes mellitus and homeostatic disturbances in gut-related
233		metabolism in Japanese subjects. J Clin Biochem Nutr 64: 231-238.
234	10.	Hashimoto Y, Hamaguchi M, Kaji A, Sakai R, Osaka T, Inoue R, Kashiwagi S,
235		Mizushima K, Uchiyama K, Takagi T, Naito Y, Fukui M. 2020. Intake of sucrose

236	affects gut dysbiosis in patients with type 2 diabetes. J Diabetes Investig 11:1623-
237	1634.
238	11. Nakaji S, Ihara K, Sawada K, Parodi S, Umeda T, Takahashi T, Murashita K,
239	Kurauchi S, Tokuda I. 2021. Social innovation for life expectancy extension
240	utilizing a platform-centered system used in the Iwaki health promotion project: A
241	protocol paper. SAGE Open Medicine 9: 1–13.
242	12. Nagashima K, Hisada T, Sato M, Mochizuki J. 2003. Application of new primer-
243	enzyme combinations to terminal restriction fragment length polymorphism
244	profiling of bacterial populations in human feces. Appl Environ Microbiol 69: 1251-
245	1262.
246	13. Nagashima K, Mochizuki J, Hisada T, Suzuki S, Shimomura K. 2006. Phylogenetic
247	analysis of 16S ribosomal RNA gene sequences from human fecal microbiota and
248	improved utility of terminal restriction fragment length polymorphism profiling.
249	Biosci Microflora 25: 99-107.
250	14. Takahashi S, Tomita J, Nishioka K, Hisada T, Nishijima M. 2014. Development of a
251	prokaryotic universal primer for simultaneous analysis of bacteria and archaea
252	using next-generation sequencing. PLoS ONE 9: e105592.
253	15. Hisada T, Endoh K, Kuriki K. Inter- and intra-individual variations in seasonal and
254	daily stabilities of the human gut microbiota in Japanese. 2015. Arch Microbiol
255	197: 919-934.

256	16. Kettle H, Louis P, Holtrop G, Duncan SH, Flint HJ. 2015. Modelling the emergent
257	dynamics and major metabolites of the human colonic microbiota. Environ
258	Microbiol 17: 1615-1630.
259	17. Morita N, Umemoto E, Fujita S, Hayashi A, Kikuta J, Kimura I, Haneda T, Imai T,
260	Inoue A, Mimuro H, Maeda Y, Kayama H, Okumura R, Aoki J, Okada N, Kida T,
261	Ishii M, Nabeshima R, Takeda K. 2019. GPR31-dependent dendrite protrusion of
262	intestinal CX3CR1+ cells by bacterial metabolites. Nature 566: 110-114.
263	18. Kim M, Galan C, Hill AA, Wu W, Fehlner-Peach H, Song HW, Schady D, Bettini
264	ML, Simpson KW, Longman RS, Littman DR, Diehl GE. 2018. Critical Role for the
265	Microbiota in CX3CR1+Intestinal Mononuclear Phagocyte Regulation of Intestinal
266	T Cell Responses. Immunity 49: 151-163.
267	19. Donath MY, Shoelson SE. 2011. Type 2 diabetes as an inflammatory disease.
268	Nature Rev Immunol. 11: 98-107.
269	20. Shin AS, Gao X, Bohm M, Lin H, Gupta A, Nelson DE, Toh E, Teagarden S, Siwiec
270	R, Dong Q, Wo JM. 2019. Characterization of proximal small intestinal microbiota
271	in patients with suspected small intestinal bacterial overgrowth: A cross-sectional
272	study. Clin Transl Gastroenterol 10:e00073.
273	21. Freedberg DE, Toussaint NC, Chen SP, Ratner AJ, Whittier S, Wang TC, Wang HH,

- Abrams JA. 2015. Proton pump inhibitors alters specific taxa in the human
- 275 gastrointestinal microbiome: A crossover trial. Gastroenterology 149: 883-885.

276	22. Jackson MA, Goodrich JK, Maxan M, Freedberg DE, Abrams JA, Poole AC, Sutter
277	JL, Welter D, Ley RE, Bell JT, Spector TD, Steves CJ. 2016. Proton pump
278	inhibitors alter the composition of the gut microbiota. Gut 65: 749-756.
279	23. Otsuka T, Sugimoto M, Inoue R, Ohno M. 2017. Influence of potassium-competitive
280	acid blocker on the gut microbiome of Helicobacter pylori-negative healthy
281	individuals. Gut 66: 1723-1725.
282	24. Yuan J, He Q, Nguyen LH, Wong MCS, Huang J, Yu Y, Xia B, Tang Y, He Y, Zhang
283	C. 2012. Regular use of proton pump inhibitors and risk of type 2 diabetes: results
284	from three prospective cohort studies. Gut 70: 1070–1077.
285	25. Ciardullo S, Rea F, Savaré L, Morabito G, Perseghin G, Corrao G. 2022. Prolonged
286	Use of Proton Pump Inhibitors and Risk of Type 2 Diabetes: Results from a large
287	population-based nested case-control study. J Clin Endocrinol Metab 107: e2671–
288	e2679.
289	26. Maeda T, Miki S, Morihara N, Kagawa Y. 2019. Aged garlic extract ameliorate fatty
290	liver and insulin resistance and improves the gut microbiota profile in a mouse

291 model of insulin resistance. Exp Ther Med 18: 857-866.

Year		2012		20	014	2016		
No. of subjects		936		1,	103	1,088		
Sex		Male	Female	Male	Female	Male	Female	
	~ 20	16	19	27	43	24	34	
	30	51	68	73	84	81	96	
	40	56	86	78	101	74	111	
Age	50	73	131	76	130	82	125	
	60	95	166	103	196	106	177	
	70	45	104	48	107	43	95	
	80~	12	14	14	23	17	23	
Sum		348	588	419	684	427	661	

Table 1. The number of subjects by sex and age each year

The subjects with healed T2D are excluded.

		Year		2012		2014			2016		
			With T2D	Without T2D	р	With T2D	Without T2D	р	With T2D	Without T2D	р
		No. of subjects, n (%)	25 (7.2)	323 (92.8)		31 (7.4)	388 (92.6)		33 (7.7)	394 (92.3)	
		Age	67 (77-62)	55 (64-42.5)	0.000	66, 77-63	52 (64-39)	0.000	67 (76-63)	52 (64-38)	0.000
Male		BMI	24.2 (26.3-22.5)	23.2 (25.3-21.2)	0.000	23.9, 25.6-22.9	23.3 (25.0-21.5)	0.062	23.8 (25.7-22.2)	23.6 (25.5-21.7)	0.594
Μ	(%)	With smoking habit	3 (12.0)	107 (33.1)	0.027	6 (19.4)	125 (32.2)	0.162	4 (12.1)	117 (29.7)	0.042
	jecs, n	with drinking habit	18 (72.0)	226 (70.0)	1.000	18 (58.1)	269 (69.3)	0.228	21 (63.6)	275 (69.8)	0.440
	No. of subjecs, n (%)	With exercise habit	13 (52.0)	95 (29.4)	0.025	12 (38.7)	122 (31.4)	0.426	10 (30.3)	111 (28.2)	0.841
		Prescribed PPIs	ND	ND		ND	ND		6 (18.2)	18 (4.6)	0.007
		No. of subjects, n (%)	21 (3.6)	567 (96.4)		29 (4.2)	655 (95.8)		24 (3.6)	637 (96.4)	
		Age	69.5 (72.5-64)	58 (67-46)	0.000	70, 74-64	58 (66-42.5)	0.000	68 (72.3-57)	57 (66-43)	0.000
ale		BMI	24.4 (25.9-22.8)	22.1 (24.1-20.0)	0.000	24.0 (27.6-22.5)	21.7 (23.9-19.6)	0.000	23.8 (26.6-22.0)	21.9 (24.2-19.8)	0.016
Female	(%)	With smoking habit	1 (4.8)	33 (5.8)	1.000	2 (6.9)	51 (7.8)	1.000	0 (0.0)	64 (10.1) ^a	0.156
	ijecs, n	with drinking habit	1 (4.8)	137 (24.2)	0.037	2 (6.9)	179 (27.3)	0.016	5 (20.8)	176 (27.8) ^b	0.642
	No. of subjecs, n (%)	With exercise habit	11 (52.4)	168 (29.6)	0.032	15 (51.7)	213 (32.5)	0.043	7 (29.2)	155 (24.3)	0.629
	No.	Prescribed PPIs*	ND	ND		ND	ND		6 (25.0)	44 (6.9)	0.007

Table 2. Results of inquiries to subjects with or without T2D by sex each year

Age and BMI are expressed as madian (interquartile range). The number of subjects in ^a and ^b are 636 and 634, respectively.

P values were calculated by using the Wilcoxon rank sum test and the Fisher's exact test.

*The number of subjects that were prescribed PPIs within three months before the date of inquiry. PPIs, proton pump inhibitors; ND, no data

Bacterial functional groups	Phylogenetic bacterial groups	Organic acid producing activity	OTUs in T-RFLP *
B-1	Bacteroides	Acetate, Propionate, Succinate	366, 469 (97), 853
B-2	Prevotella	Acetate, Propionate, Succinate	317
B-3	<i>Clostridium</i> cluster IV, XI, XIVa, XVIII	Acetate, Succinate	106, 168, 338, 369 (33), 494 (84), 657 (8), 754 (52), 920 (80), 940 (28), 955 (92)
B-4	Bifidobacterium	Acetate, Lactate	110 (4), 124
B-5	Lactobacillales (Enterococcus , Lactobacillus , Streptococcus)	Lactate	332, 520, 657 (92)
B-6	Clostridium cluster IV, XI, XIVa, XVIII	Butyrate, Lactate, Formate	369 (33), 494 (14), 505 (50), 517, 640, 749, 754 (10), 940 (24), 955 (8)
B-7	Clostridium cluster IX	Acetate, Propionate	110 (96), 369 (17), 469 (3)
B-8	Clostridium cluster XIVa	Acetate, Butyrate, Formate Lactate, Acetate \rightarrow Butyrate	369 (17), 494 (2), 505 (50), 754 (38), 920 (20), 940 (48), 990

Table 3. Classification of OTUs in T-RFLP into the bacterial functional groups

* In silico T-RFLP using the 16S rRNA gene sequences obtained by the random cloning analysis of feacal microbiota provides a correspondence between the OTUs in T-RFLP and the phylogenetic bacterial groups (11, 12). In this research, these OTUs were further classified into the 8 bacterial functional groups (B-1 to B-8) on the basis of the organic acid producing activity. Even if the clones from the bacterial 16S rRNA gene belong to the same OTU, they are allocated to the different functional group in some cases because of the different organic acid producing activity. Numbers in parenthese indicate the allocation ratios (%), which were calculated from the number of clones belonging to each functional group.

Year	Sex	Variables	В	SE	Р	OR -	95% CI	
iear	Sex				Г	ON	Lower	Upper
		B-4 (<i>Bifidobacterium</i>)	0.140	0.040	0.00045 *	1.150	1.064	1.244
	Male	B-5 (<i>Lactobacillales</i>)	0.060	0.018	0.00075 *	1.062	1.025	1.100
		Constant	-15.195	2.810	0.00000			
2012		B-5 (<i>Lactobacillales</i>)	0.066	0.024	0.00603 *	1.068	1.019	1.120
	Female	B-4 (<i>Bifidobacterium</i>)	0.072	0.033	0.03159 *	1.075	1.007	1.146
	remate	B-6 (<i>Clostridium</i>)	0.057	0.032	0.07192	1.059	0.994	1.127
		Constant	-16.395	2.974	0.00000			
		B-5 (<i>Lactobacillales</i>)	0.082	0.026	0.00163 *	1.085	1.032	1.142
	Male	B-4 (<i>Bifidobacterium</i>)	0.052	0.020	0.00788 *	1.053	1.013	1.095
		Constant	-11.749	2.397	0.00000			
2014		B-3 (<i>Clostridium</i>)	-0.094	0.029	0.00128 *	0.910	0.860	0.964
2014		B-5 (<i>Lactobacillales</i>)	0.067	0.024	0.00498 *	1.069	1.020	1.121
	Female	B-8 (<i>Clostridium</i>)	-0.053	0.033	0.10939	0.948	0.889	1.012
		B-4 (<i>Bifidobacterium</i>)	0.024	0.018	0.19678	1.024	0.989	1.061
		Constant	-11.465	2.269	0.00000			

Table 4. Multivariable logistic regression analysis for the presence of T2D

	Male	Bifidobacteriales	0.071	0.024	0.00382 *	1.074	1.024	1.125
		Coriobacteriales	0.160	0.072	0.02529 *	1.174	1.019	1.351
		Lactobacillales	0.036	0.018	0.04820 *	1.037	1.001	1.074
2016		Constant	-8.968	1.352	0.00000			
	Female	Bacteroidales	-0.063	0.025	0.01043 *	0.939	0.894	0.986
		Lactobacillales	0.033	0.021	0.10876	1.034	0.992	1.077
		Constant	-4.960	1.609	0.00206			

Refer to Table 2 with respect to the variables of B-3, B-4, B-5, B-6 and B-8.

Age, BMI, the habits of smoking, alcohol drinking and exercise, and the medication of a GI (only in the case of 2016) were used as the moderator variable.

The variables with p < 0.2 were presented. * indicate p < 0.05.

SE, standard error; OR, odds ratio; CI, confidence interval.

continued