19

Abstract: There are a few studies on the association between type 2 diabetes (T2D) and the gut microbiota but no community-based cross-sectional study. In the Iwaki Health Promotion Project (Hirosaki, Japan), we surveyed medical information and lifestyle and analyzed the gut microbiota using the terminal restriction fragment length polymorphism technique or next-generation sequencing. Based on these data, multivariable logistic regression analysis was performed with or without T2D as the dependent variable. Consequently, it was suggested that the *Lactobacillales* population in the gut microbiota was positively associated with T2D, consistent with the results of case-control studies.

Type 2 diabetes (T2D) is caused by insulin resistance or impaired insulin secretion. T2D is related to genetic factors and lifestyle, such as overeating or lack of exercise. In Japan, the sum of patients with T2D and potential diabetics was 20 million in 2016 (The National Health and Nutrition Survey in Japan, 2018). The prevalence is higher in males and with increasing years.

Research on the association between various diseases and the gut microbiota has advanced [1] and become increasingly important. Also, case-control studies on the association between T2D and the gut microbiota have been reported [2-10]. These studies commonly indicated an increased *Lactobacillales* population in the fecal microbiota in T2D, so *Lactobacillales* have the potential to be a novel target for prevention and therapy of T2D.

Community-based health examination surveys (in Hirosaki, Japan) have been performed for many years, called "the Iwaki Health Promotion Project." In this project, about ten hundreds of people participate every year, and data from more than 2000 items

- related to vital and medical information, dietary habits, and lifestyle are collected. These
- 46 also include data on the gut microbiota obtained using the terminal restriction fragment
- 47 length polymorphism (T-RFLP) technique [11, 12] or next-generation sequencing (NGS)
- 48 [13].
- 49 There has been no community-based cross-sectional study on the association between
- 50 T2D and the gut microbiota. Therefore, in this study, multivariable logistic regression
- analysis was performed with or without T2D as the dependent variable using Iwaki
- 52 Health Promotion Project data.
- 53 This survey was intended for the Iwaki Health Promotion Project (in Hirosaki, Japan)
- 54 participants in 2012, 2014, and 2016. Subjects were inquired about sex, age, medical
- 55 history, drug intake, smoking, alcohol drinking, exercise, etc. Weight and height were
- measured to calculate the body mass index (BMI). The number of subjects by sex and
- age is indicated in Table 1. This study was approved by the Ethics Committee of Hirosaki
- 58 University Graduate School of Medicine. Written informed consent was obtained from
- 59 all participants.
- 60 Fecal DNA was prepared as described previously [14]. Feces were collected into a 4 M
- 61 guanidine thiocyanate solution, followed by bead-beating and extraction using magnetic
- 62 beads. The fecal microbiota was analyzed by T-RFLP [11, 12] or NGS [13], targeting the
- 63 16S rRNA gene.
- 64 In silico T-RFLP using bacterial 16S rRNA gene sequences obtained by random cloning
- analysis of the fecal microbiota provided correspondence between operational taxonomic
- units (OTUs) in T-RFLP and phylogenetic bacterial groups [11, 12]. This study classified
- 67 these OTUs into eight bacterial functional groups (B-1-B-8; see Table 2) based on the
- organic acid-producing activity [15]. Conversion equations are given below.
- 69 B1 = $OTU366 + OTU469 \times 0.97 + OTU853$

```
70 	 B2 = OTU317
```

- $B3 = OTU106 + OTU168 + OTU338 + OTU369 \times 0.33 + OTU494 \times 0.84 + OTU650 + OTU660 +$
- 72 $OTU657 \times 0.08 + OTU754 \times 0.52 + OTU920 \times 0.8 + OTU940 \times 0.28 + OTU955 \times 0.92$
- 73 B4 = OTU124
- 74 B5 = $OTU332 + OTU520 + OTU657 \times 0.92$
- 75 B6 = $OTU369 \times 0.33 + OTU494 \times 0.14 + OTU505 \times 0.5 + OTU517 + OTU640 + OTU749$
- 76 + OTU754 \times 0.1 + OTU940 \times 0.24 + OTU955 \times 0.08
- 77 B7 = OTU110 \times 0.96 + OTU369 \times 0.17 + OTU469 \times 0.03
- 78 B8 = OTU369 × 0.17 + OTU494 × 0.02 + OTU505 × 0.5 + OTU754 × 0.38 + OTU920 ×
- 79 $0.2 + OTU940 \times 0.48 + OTU990$
- 80 Multivariable logistic regression analysis (forward selection method) was performed
- 81 with or without T2D as the dependent variable. The number of subjects with or without
- 82 T2D and with healed T2D is shown in Table 3. Subjects with healed T2D were excluded
- 83 from the analysis due to the difficulty of attributing to either of the binary variables. The
- 84 functional bacterial groups in Table 2 in T-RFLP or seven orders, including *Bacteroidales*,
- 85 Bifidobacteriales, Clostridiales, Coriobacteriales, Enterobacteriales, Lactobacillales, and
- 86 Selenomonadales, in NGS were the independent variables. The sequences derived from
- 87 these seven orders accounted for >90% of the total. Age, BMI, smoking, alcohol drinking,
- and exercise were used as moderators in the regression analysis. In 2016, when subjects
- 89 were inquired about drug intake, α-glucosidase inhibitor (α-GI), a diabetes drug, was also
- 90 used as a moderator. JUSE-StatWorks/V5E (The Institute of JUSE, Tokyo, Japan) was
- 91 used for statistical analysis. The significance level was set at p < 0.05.

92

93

In Table 3, the T2D ratio in subjects was 3.5% to 4.2% in females and 7.2% to 7.5% in

males, which is almost the same level as the ratio of outpatients with T2D to the population (>20 years old in 2016) in Aomori Prefecture: 3.1% in females and 5.4% in males

This study used multivariable logistic regression to assess the association between T2D and the gut microbiota (OTUs) in the Iwaki Health Promotion Project participant population for 3 years. A summary of the results is presented in Table 4. The functional bacterial group B-5 (Lactobacillales, refer to Table 2) was commonly extracted as a significant variable in males and females in case of 2012 and 2014, in which T-RFLP analysis was performed, with odds ratios [OR; 95% confidence intervals (95% CIs)] was 1.062 (1.025–1.100), 1.068 (1.019–1.20), 1.085 (1.032–1.142), and 1.069 (1.020–1.121), respectively. In case of 2016, in which NGS analysis was performed, Lactobacillales was a significant variable in males with ORs (95% CIs) of 1.174 (1.019–1.351), but it was an insignificant variable in females, with p-value of a slightly over 10% (p = 0.109). The functional bacterial group B-4 (Bifidobacterium spp.) was also a significant variable in some cases, that is, males and females in 2012 and males in 2014 and 2016, with ORs (95% CIs) of 1.150 (1.064–1.244), 1.075 (1.007–1.146), 1.053 (1.013–1.095), and 1.074 (1.024–1.125), respectively. As for the rest, the functional bacterial group B-3 (Clostridium clusters IV, XI, XIVa, and XVIII) in females in 2014, Bifidobacterium and Coriobacteriales in males in 2016, and Bacteroidales in females in 2016 were significant variables.

114

115

116

117

118

94

95

96

97

98

99

100

101

102

103

104

105

106

107

108

109

110

111

112

113

Although previous studies on the association between T2D and the gut microbiota were performed in various countries (Austria, Iran, Denmark, Japan, and Sweden) with various techniques (NGS, quantitative polymerase chain reaction, and T-RFLP), a positive association with *Lactobacillales* was indicated in any studies, suggesting a

considerably high certainty of the results. In this study, a multivariable logistic regression analysis was performed to assess the association between T2D and the gut microbiota in a cross-sectional study intended for people in Hirosaki, Japan. Similar results to previous studies were obtained.

This and previous studies suggested the causal relationship between T2D and Lactobacillales, but the cause or result is unknown. First, whether Lactobacillales are innate bacteria or bacteria originating from foods, such as yogurt, was discussed. Sato et al. [6] and Adachi et al. [9] considered that these bacteria are innate because the number of subjects who consumed yogurt was not significantly different between the control and T2D groups and significantly fewer in the latter group. Furthermore, the number of probiotic bacteria taken from supplements or foods was $\sim 10^{10}$ cells/day. These bacteria cannot colonize and proliferate in the gut to remain a minor population. Therefore, the idea that these bacteria are innate is deemed to be appropriate.

Morita et al. [16] indicated that lactate and pyruvate, produced in the small intestinal in a bacteria-dependent manner, enhanced immune responses by inducing dendrite protrusion of intestinal CX3CR1⁺ mononuclear cells. These findings speculated a possible causal relationship as follows: an excess of bacteria, including lactic acid bacteria in the small intestine, induces the overstimulation of immune responses, followed by chronic inflammation, resulting in the T2D onset (17, 18).

If that is the case, why do bacteria such as lactic acid bacteria proliferate abnormally in the small intestine? Usually, gastric acid strongly prevents bacterial entry to the small intestine from the oral cavity so that almost no bacterial proliferation occurs. However, gastric acid secretion declines with aging or is decreased by stress, which is considered to cause the proliferation of these bacteria in the intestines [19-22].

On the one hand, some studies suggested that α-GI increases Bifidobacterium spp. and

Lactobacillales population in the fecal microbiota [6, 9, 10]. In this project in 2016, the number of subjects with T2D who did or did not take α -GI was 12 (10 males and 2 females) and 45 (23 males and 22 females), respectively. Bifidobacterium spp. population in the fecal microbiota in males was higher in subjects with T2D taking α -GI than those not taking α -GI [mean \pm standard deviation (SD), 24.3 ± 7.9 vs. 9.3 ± 11.0 ; p = 0.003, Wilcoxon rank-sum test]. Similarly, in Lactobacillales in males, the population was higher in subjects with T2D taking α -GI (mean \pm SD, 29.4 ± 14.6 vs. 8.5 ± 14.9 ; p < 0.001). No significant difference was observed in females. From these facts, here we accessed using α -GI as an additional moderator for the regression analysis in 2016, in which subjects were inquired about drug intake.

association between T2D and Lactobacillales [5, 7, 8]. Furthermore, the Lactobacillales

population in the fecal microbiota also increased in a mouse model of insulin resistance

[23]. Considering these findings, α -GI is deemed to have only limited effectiveness in this

and previous studies on the association between T2D and the gut microbiota.

Taken together, multivariable logistic regression analysis was performed in the community-based cross-sectional study to assess the association between T2D and the gut microbiota. It was suggested that the *Lactobacillales* population was positively related to T2D, as indicated in previous studies. It is expected that future analyses will be performed with more subjects, and studies on the elucidation of the causal relationship are making progress. As such, novel techniques for prevention and therapy targeted to the bacteria are being developed.

168	ACKNOWLEDGMENTS
169	This study was supported by JST COI (grant no. JPMJCE1302). We thanks Mr. Ken-
170	ichi Kudo (Hirosaki University) for advice on statistical analysis.
171	
172	AUTHER CONTRIBUTIONS
173	K.N. played a role in the investigation, conceptualization and writing original draft.
174	T.H. played a role in the data curation, formal analysis and investigation. J.M., T.M.
175	and Y.T. played a role in the project administration and reviewing. S.N. played a role in
176	the project administration and supervision.
177	
178	CONFLICT OF INTEREST
179	The authors declare no competing interests.
180	
181	REFERENCES
182	(1) Wang X, Zhang A, Miao J, Sun H, Yan G, Fang-fang Wu F, Wang X. 2018. Gut
183	microbiota as important modulator of metabolism in health and disease. RSC Adv
184	8: 42380-42389.
185	(2) Larsen N, Vogensen FK, van den Berg FWJ, Nielsen DS. Andreasen AS, Pedersen
186	BK, Al-Soud WA, Sørensen SJ, Hansen LH, Jakobsen M. 2010. Gut microbiota in
187	human adults with type 2 diabetes differs from non-diabetic adults. PLoS ONE 5:
188	e9085.

- 189 (3) Sasaki M, Ogasawara N, Funaki Y, Mizuno M, Iida A, Goto C, Koikeda S, Kasugai K,
- Joh T. 2013. Transglucosidase improves the gut microbiota profile of type 2 diabetes
- 191 mellitus patients: a randomized double-blind, placebo-controlled study. BMC
- 192 Gastroenterol 13: 81.
- 193 (4) Karlsson F, Tremaroli V, Nookaew I. Bergström G, Behre CJ, Fagerberg B, Nielsen J,
- Bäckhed F. 2013. Gut metagenome in European women with normal, impaired and
- diabetic glucose control. Nature 498: 99-103.
- 196 (5) Remely M, Dworzak S, Hippe B, Zwielehner J, Aumüller E, Brath H, Haslberger A.
- 197 2013. Abundance and diversity of microbiota in type 2 diabetes and obesity. J
- 198 Diabetes Metab 4: 253.
- 199 (6) Sato J, Kanazawa A, Ikeda F, Yoshihara T, Goto H, Abe H, Komiya K, Kawaguchi M,
- 200 Shimizu T, Ogihara T, Tamura Y, Sakurai Y, Yamamoto R, Mita T, Fujitani Y,
- Fukuda H, Nomoto K, Takahashi T, Asahara T, Hirose T, Nagata S, Yamashiro Y,
- Watada H. 2014. Gut dysbiosis and detection of "live gut bacteria" in blood of
- Japanese patients with type 2 diabetes. Diabetes Care 37: 2343-2350.
- 204 (7) Hartstra AV, Bouter KEC, Bäckhed F, Nieuwdorp M. Insights into the role of the
- 205 microbiome in obesity and type 2 diabetes. Diabetes Care. 2015; 38: 159-165.
- 206 (8) Sedighi M, Razavi S, Navab-Moghadam F, Khamseh ME, Alaei-Shahmirid F,
- Mehrtashe A, Amirmozafari N. 2017. Comparison of gut microbiota in adult
- patients with type 2 diabetes. Microbial Pathogenesis 111: 362-369.
- 209 (9) Adachi K, Sugiyama T, Yamaguchi Y, Tamura Y, Izawa S, Hijikata Y, Ebi M, Funaki
- 210 Y, Ogasawara N, Goto C, Sasaki M, Kasugai K. 2019. Gut microbiota disorders
- cause type 2 diabetes mellitus and homeostatic disturbances in gut-related
- 212 metabolism in Japanese subjects. J Clin Biochem Nutr 64: 231-238.

- 213 (10) Hashimoto Y, Hamaguchi M, Kaji A, Sakai R, Osaka T, Inoue R, Kashiwagi S,
- Mizushima K, Uchiyama K, Takagi T, Naito Y, Fukui M. 2020. Intake of sucrose
- affects gut dysbiosis in patients with type 2 diabetes. J Diabetes Investig 11:1623-
- 216 1634.
- 217 (11) Nagashima K, Hisada T, Sato M, Mochizuki J. 2003. Application of new primer-
- enzyme combinations to terminal restriction fragment length polymorphism
- profiling of bacterial populations in human feces. Appl Environ Microbiol 69: 1251-
- 220 62.
- 221 (12) Nagashima K, Mochizuki J, Hisada T, Suzuki S, Shimomura K. 2006. Phylogenetic
- 222 analysis of 16S ribosomal RNA gene sequences from human fecal microbiota and
- improved utility of terminal restriction fragment length polymorphism profiling.
- Biosci Microflora 25: 99-107.
- 225 (13) Takahashi S, Tomita J, Nishioka K, Hisada T, Nishijima M. 2014. Development of a
- prokaryotic universal primer for simultaneous analysis of bacteria and archaea
- using next-generation sequencing. PLoS ONE 9: e105592.
- 228 (14) Hisada T, Endoh K, Kuriki K. Inter- and intra-individual variations in seasonal and
- daily stabilities of the human gut microbiota in Japanese. 2015. Arch Microbiol 197:
- 230 919-934.
- 231 (15) Kettle H, Louis P, Holtrop G, Duncan SH, Flint HJ. 2015. Modelling the emergent
- 232 dynamics and major metabolites of the human colonic microbiota. Environ
- 233 Microbiol 17: 1615-1630.
- 234 (16) Morita N, Umemoto E, Fujita S, Hayashi A, Kikuta J, Kimura I, Haneda T, Imai T,
- Inoue A, Mimuro H, Maeda Y, Kayama H, Okumura R, Aoki J, Okada N, Kida T,
- Ishii M, Nabeshima R, Takeda K. 2019. GPR31-dependent dendrite protrusion of
- intestinal CX3CR1+ cells by bacterial metabolites. Nature 566: 110-114.

- 238 (17) Kim M, Galan C, Hill AA, Wu W, Fehlner-Peach H, Song HW, Schady D, Bettini ML,
- Simpson KW, Longman RS, Littman DR, Diehl GE. 2018. Critical Role for the
- 240 Microbiota in CX3CR1+Intestinal Mononuclear Phagocyte Regulation of Intestinal
- T Cell Responses. Immunity 49: 151-163.
- 242 (18) Donath MY, Shoelson SE. 2011. Type 2 diabetes as an inflammatory disease. Nature
- 243 Rev Immunol. 11: 98-107.
- 244 (19) Freedberg DE, Toussaint NC, Chen SP, Ratner AJ, Whittier S, Wang TC, Wang HH,
- Abrams JA. 2015. Proton pump inhibitors alters specific taxa in the human
- gastrointestinal microbiome: A crossover trial. Gastroenterology 149: 883-885.
- 247 (20) Jackson MA, Goodrich JK, Maxan M, Freedberg DE, Abrams JA, Poole AC, Sutter
- JL, Welter D, Ley RE, Bell JT, Spector TD, Steves CJ. 2016. Proton pump inhibitors
- alter the composition of the gut microbiota. Gut 65: 749-756.
- 250 (21) Otsuka T, Sugimoto M, Inoue R, Ohno M. 2017. Influence of potassium-competitive
- acid blocker on the gut microbiome of Helicobacter pylori-negative healthy
- 252 individuals. Gut 66: 1723-1725.
- 253 (22) Shin AS, Gao X, Bohm M, Lin H, Gupta A, Nelson DE, Toh E, Teagarden S, Siwiec
- R, Dong Q, Wo JM. 2019. Characterization of proximal small intestinal microbiota
- in patients with suspected small intestinal bacterial overgrowth: A cross-sectional
- study. Clin Transl Gastroenterol 10:e00073.
- 257 (23) Maeda T, Miki S, Morihara N, Kagawa Y. 2019. Aged garlic extract ameliorate fatty
- liver and insulin resistance and improves the gut microbiota profile in a mouse
- 259 model of insulin resistance. Exp Ther Med 18: 857-866.

Table 1. The number of subjects by sex and age each year

Years		2012		20	2014		2016		
No. of subjects		9	40	1,111		1,120			
Sex		Male	Female	Male	Female	Male	Female		
	~ 20	16	19	27	43	26	37		
	30	51	68	73	84	86	100		
	40	56	86	78	102	76	113		
Age	50	73	132	78	130	83	128		
	60	96	167	105	198	106	179		
	70	45	104	49	107	47	97		
	80~	12	15	14	23	18	24		
Sum		349	591	424	687	442	678		

Table 2. Classification of OTUs in T-RFLP into the bacterial functional groups

Bacterial functional groups	Phylogenetic bacterial groups	Organic acid producing activity	OTUs in T-RFLP st
B-1	Bacteroides	Acetate, Propionate, Succinate	366, 469, 853
B-2	Prevotella	Acetate, Propionate, Succinate	317
B-3	Clostridium cluster IV, XI, XIVa, XVIII	Acetate, Succinate	106, 168, 369(25.0), 490(87.0), 754(52.3), 940(28.0), 955(91.9)
B-4	Bifidobacterium	Acetate, Lactate	124
B-5	Lactobacillales (Enterococcus, Lactobacillus, Streptococcus)	Lactate	332, 520, 657
B-6	Clostridium cluster IV, XI, XIVa, XVIII	Butyrate, Lactate, Formate	369(50.0), 490(11.1), 517, 749, 754(9.5), 955(8.1), 940(24.0), 990
В-7	Clostridium cluster XIVa	Acetate, Butyrate, Formate Lactate, Acetate → Butyrate	369(25.0), 494(1.8), 754(38.1), 940(48.0)
B-8	Clostridium cluster IX	Acetate, Propionate	110

^{*} In silico T-RFLP using the 16S rRNA gene sequences obtained by the random cloning analysis of feacal microbiota provides a correspondence between the OTUs in T-RFLP and the phylogenetic bacterial groups (11, 12). In this research, these OTUs were further classified into the 8 bacterial functional groups (B-1 to B-8) on the basis of the organic acid producing activity. Even if the clones from the bacterial 16S rRNA gene belong to the same OTU, they are allocated to the different functional group in some cases because of the different organic acid producing activity. Numbers in parenthese indicate the allocation ratios (%), which were calculated from the number of clones belonging to each functional group.

Table 3. The number of subjects with or without T2D and with healed T2D by sex and years

<i>T</i> OD		2012		2	014	2016		
T2D	² D		Ratio (%)	No.	Ratio (%)	No.	Ratio (%)	
With	Male	25	7.2	31	7.3	33	7.5	
With	Female	21	3.6	29	4.2	24	3.5	
Without	Male	323	92.6	388	91.5	405	91.6	
without	Female	567	95.9	655	95.3	649	95.7	
Healed	Male	1	0.3	5	1.2	4	0.9	
nealed	Female	3	0.9	3	0.7	5	1.1	
Sum	Male	349	100	424	100	442	100	
Bulli	Female	591	100	687	100	678	100	

Table 4. Multivariable logistic regression analysis for the presence of T2D

Year	Sex	Variables	В	SE	P	OP	95% CI	
rear	Sex					OR -	Lower	Upper
		B-4 (<i>Bifidobacterium</i>)	0.140	0.040	0.00045 *	1.150	1.064	1.244
	Male	B-5 (Lactobacillales)	0.060	0.018	0.00075	1.062	1.025	1.100
		Constant	-15.195	2.810	0.00000			
2012		B-5 (<i>Lactobacillales</i>)	0.066	0.024	0.00603 *	1.068	1.019	1.120
	Female	B-4 (<i>Bifidobacterium</i>)	0.072	0.033	0.03159 *	1.075	1.007	1.146
	remaie	B-6 (Clostridium)	0.057	0.032	0.07192	1.059	0.994	1.127
		Constant	-16.395	2.974	0.00000			
		B-5 (Lactobacillales)	0.082	0.026	0.00163	1.085	1.032	1.142
	Male	B-4 (<i>Bifidobacterium</i>)	0.052	0.020	0.00788 *	1.053	1.013	1.095
		Constant	-11.749	2.397	0.00000			
2014		B-3 (Clostridium)	-0.094	0.029	0.00128 *	0.910	0.860	0.964
2014		B-5 (Lactobacillales)	0.067	0.024	0.00498 *	1.069	1.020	1.121
	Female	$\begin{array}{c} \text{B-8} \\ (\textit{Clostridium}) \end{array}$	-0.053	0.033	0.10939	0.948	0.889	1.012
		B-4 (<i>Bifidobacterium</i>)	0.024	0.018	0.19678	1.024	0.989	1.061
		Constant	-11.465	2.269	0.00000			

continued

Bifidobacteriales									
Male Lactobacillales 0.160 0.072 0.02529 1.174 1.019 1.351 Lactobacillales 0.036 0.018 0.04820 1.037 1.001 1.074 2016 Constant -8.968 1.352 0.00000 Bacteroidales -0.063 0.025 0.01043 0.939 0.894 0.986 Female Lactobacillales 0.033 0.021 0.10876 1.034 0.992 1.077		Male	$\it Bifidobacteriales$	0.071	0.024	0.00382 *	1.074	1.024	1.125
Lactobacillales 0.036 0.018 0.04820 * 1.037 1.001 1.074 2016 Constant -8.968 1.352 0.00000			Coriobacteriales	0.160	0.072	0.02529 *	1.174	1.019	1.351
Bacteroidales -0.063 0.025 0.01043 * 0.939 0.894 0.986 Female Lactobacillales 0.033 0.021 0.10876 1.034 0.992 1.077			Lactobacillales	0.036	0.018	0.04820 *	1.037	1.001	1.074
Female $Lactobacillales$ 0.033 0.021 0.10876 1.034 0.992 1.077	2016		Constant	-8.968	1.352	0.00000			
			Bacteroidales	-0.063	0.025	0.01043 *	0.939	0.894	0.986
Constant -4.960 1.609 0.00206		Female	Lactobacillales	0.033	0.021	0.10876	1.034	0.992	1.077
			Constant	-4.960	1.609	0.00206			

Refer to Table 2 with respect to the variables of B-3, B-4, B-5, B-6 and B-8.

Age, BMI, the habits of smoking, alcohol drinking and exercise, and the medication of α -GI (only in the case of 2016) were used as the moderator variable.

The variables with p < 0.2 were presented. * indicate p < 0.05.

Abbreviations: SE, standard error; OR, odds ratio; CI, confidence interval.