

Title

Artificial Transcendence: Religious and Cultural Dimensions in the Emergence of AGI

author

Keisuke Sato

Natural Science, National Institute of Technology, Ibaraki College, Hitachinaka, Ibaraki, Japan

skeisuke@ibaraki-ct.ac.jp

Abstract

As artificial intelligence advances toward artificial general intelligence (AGI), understanding its religious and cultural implications becomes increasingly urgent. Emerging technologies, including augmented reality (AR) and AI-driven systems, challenge traditional notions of sacred space, religious authority, and authenticity. Case studies of AR religious tourism, fandom-driven techno-animism, and practices like hijab cosplay reveal that believers do not passively accept new media. Instead, they adapt and reinterpret global cultural forms to align with local religious values. These insights suggest that participatory engagement, ethical frameworks, and culturally responsive design can guide AGI's integration into religious contexts. By maintaining narrative transparency, allowing community input, and embracing abstraction, AGI may avoid sparking religious tensions or being misconstrued as divine. Ultimately, attentive, ethics-driven approaches can ensure that AGI complements existing religious traditions, enhances intercultural understanding, and contributes to a spiritually pluralistic, culturally respectful future.

Keywords

AGI; religion; culture; AI ethics; techno-animism; AR religious tourism; fandom

Introduction

As the prospect of Artificial General Intelligence (AGI) draws nearer, scholars across disciplines increasingly consider its cultural, religious, and ethical implications. Initially confined to automating decision-making or routine tasks, AI systems are now poised to influence spheres of meaning, identity, and belief (El Jurdi et al., 2022; Ibrahim, 2022; Laato et al., 2022). Beyond technical challenges, AGI raises questions about transcendence, human existence, and how it may reconfigure the delicate balance between religious harmony and conflict. Will advanced AI systems reinforce existing religious authorities, challenge them, or inspire entirely new forms of spirituality and devotion?

Recent developments in digital media offer a preliminary map of these complex terrains. The mediatization of religion, for example, shows that new communication platforms do not merely transmit religious content but transform its patterns of authority and authenticity (Hjarvard, 2011; Morgan, 2005). Popular culture further complicates this interplay. Augmented Reality (AR) religious tourism, where digital overlays reshape perceptions of sacred spaces (Gabriel, 2017; Laato et al., 2022), and practices like hijab cosplay—Muslim women adapting anime aesthetics to Islamic norms (Rastati, 2016)—reveal believers actively negotiating

global cultural flows rather than passively receiving them. Meanwhile, phenomena like Hatsune Miku's techno-animism (Hayashi, 2020) suggest that fans can attribute quasi-spiritual significance to digital entities, hinting that AGI might one day evoke similar responses.

Ethical frameworks, such as the Rome Call for AI Ethics (Pontifical Academy for Life, 2020), underscore the urgency of aligning AI development with human dignity, cultural diversity, and moral responsibility. Learning from previous encounters between religion and digital innovation can guide AGI's responsible integration. Just as anime's global success relies on glocalization—adapting aesthetics and narratives for local contexts (Tomos, 2014)—AGI might tailor its “character” and moral reasoning to distinct religious landscapes. Authenticity, never fixed, emerges through communal consensus and creative interpretation (Coody et al., 2023). AGI's acceptance may hinge on whether it invites community participation, respects doctrinal boundaries, and fosters constructive dialogues.

In the following sections, this paper critically reviews literature at the nexus of religion, media, and popular culture, then introduces a theoretical framework that emphasizes mediatization, cultural adaptation, ethical oversight, and participatory authenticity. Drawing insights from AR religious tourism, fandom-driven techno-animism, and cultural negotiations like hijab cosplay, this study proposes guidelines for ethically sound, culturally sensitive AGI development. By integrating these lessons, we envision a future where AGI can complement religious traditions, support intercultural understanding, and help maintain an ethically grounded, spiritually pluralistic environment.

Literature Review

Religious life and practice have always been responsive to changes in media and technology. From the use of print culture in Reformation-era theological debates to the televised sermons of the 20th century, new communication platforms have continuously reshaped how believers encounter doctrine, engage with authorities, and form communities. As digital networks and interactive technologies proliferate, the interplay of religion and media enters new phases. Early scholarship focused on how “mediatization”—the process by which society, including religion, becomes dependent on the logics and affordances of media—transforms religious authority and authenticity (Hjarvard, 2011; Morgan, 2005). In these earlier studies, the spotlight often remained on mass media such as television or radio broadcasts of religious messages. However, the last two decades have seen a dramatic shift toward more participatory, global, and mobile digital environments.

In these new contexts, religious communities and individuals encounter digital platforms that enable them not only to consume but also to produce, remix, and recontextualize religious content. Social media, video-sharing sites, podcasts, and virtual forums allow religious leaders, lay believers, and seekers to interact more directly and frequently than ever before. Digital communication transcends geographical borders, making it possible for believers from different cultural and linguistic backgrounds to share interpretations of doctrine, debate practices, and learn about each other's traditions. Pasquale (2015) emphasizes that these interactions occur within a “black box society,” wherein opaque algorithms and platform policies shape what content is visible, recommended, or suppressed. This challenges religious communities to understand and sometimes contest the digital architectures that mediate their faith expressions.

Religious authority becomes more fluid in this environment. Traditional institutions—churches, mosques, temples, synagogues—must navigate a digital landscape where charismatic online influencers, spiritual entrepreneurs, and AI-driven recommendation systems compete for believers' attention. While some religious authorities embrace digital tools to extend their reach, others remain skeptical, fearing that these platforms may undermine established doctrinal hierarchies or dilute religious teachings. El Jurdi et al. (2022) and Ibrahim (2022) note that this tension is not limited to any single tradition; Pentecostal preachers in Africa, for instance, utilize media to spread their message widely, while Islamic scholars consider the implications of AI-generated

fatwas or scriptural interpretations. The stakes are high: as AI systems become more sophisticated, believers may turn to them for religious advice, compelling religious leaders to articulate what constitutes authoritative guidance in an algorithmically mediated age.

Augmented Reality (AR) and Virtual Reality (VR) applications intensify these debates. Scholars like Gabriel (2017), Laato, Rauti, and Laato (2022), and Mylenka and Anhelova (2021) document how AR experiences overlay digital religious symbols, narratives, or characters onto physical landscapes. AR-based religious tourism platforms enable users to “visit” sacred sites through their smartphones or headsets, discovering layered historical, doctrinal, and cultural narratives. Olsen and Timothy (2022) highlight that religious tourism, traditionally understood as pilgrimage or visiting places of worship, now includes immersive digital journeys. These experiences can democratize access to religious knowledge, making it accessible to those who cannot travel. Yet, they also raise concerns about the commodification or trivialization of the sacred. Religious leaders may question whether turning holy sites into gamified AR experiences—like the unintended Pokémon GO encounters—undermines the solemnity and depth that religious participation demands.

A key theme emerging from this literature is the negotiation of authenticity. Morgan (2005) and Uehlinger (2015) remind us that religious authenticity has never been static; it is continually mediated by images, rituals, texts, and community consensus. The digital environment intensifies this dynamic. As believers curate religious content on social media, produce fan art with spiritual motifs, or engage in creative practices like hijab cosplay (Rastati, 2016), they reshape what feels authentic and meaningful. Authenticity in the digital era does not reside solely in doctrinal purity or institutional authority; it emerges from the interplay of tradition, innovation, communal feedback, and personal experience. Fandom studies (Coody et al., 2023; Hayashi, 2020) demonstrate that participatory cultures can imbue fictional characters with moral or even quasi-religious significance. If fans can collectively attribute transcendent qualities to a virtual idol like Hatsune Miku, might similar processes occur with advanced AI entities?

This line of inquiry leads directly to questions about AI ethics, especially as articulated in documents like the Rome Call for AI Ethics (Pontifical Academy for Life, 2020). As AI systems increasingly handle religious content—translating scriptures, offering devotional suggestions, or simulating religious dialogues—transparency, accountability, and fairness become paramount. Pasquale’s (2015) call for algorithmic transparency gains particular urgency in religious contexts, where doctrinal accuracy, moral integrity, and cultural sensitivity are critical. Without ethical oversight, AI might inadvertently promote heretical interpretations, culturally insensitive portrayals of sacred figures, or biased recommendations that marginalize minority communities.

Cultural adaptation theories and the concept of glocalization (Tomos, 2014) offer a constructive path forward. Just as anime and other global media forms succeed by blending local aesthetics with universal appeal, AI-driven religious tools can incorporate region-specific values, linguistic nuances, and doctrinal subtleties. When communities adapt foreign cultural artifacts—like blending anime aesthetics with Islamic norms in hijab cosplay—they demonstrate an underlying principle: authenticity is co-constructed through dialogue. This principle can guide AI developers to build systems that invite user input, allowing religious communities to shape how AI interfaces present information, interpret texts, or highlight moral teachings. Such participatory design can foster trust and acceptance, ensuring that AI complements rather than confronts existing religious traditions.

Finally, the literature on AR religious tourism and intercultural religious encounters (Ibrahim, 2022; Olsen & Timothy, 2022) suggests that technology can promote empathy and understanding. If carefully curated, digital media can highlight commonalities across traditions and encourage respectful engagement with religious difference. This peacebuilding potential may extend to AI, which could serve as a mediator that offers balanced, multi-perspective accounts of contested doctrines or contested holy sites. Yet, realizing this

potential requires developers, religious authorities, and users to collaboratively negotiate parameters, ensuring that AI's narratives remain context-sensitive, inclusive, and free from sensationalism.

In sum, the scholarship underscores that religion in the digital era is dynamic, fluid, and deeply shaped by participatory, transnational cultural flows. Authenticity, authority, and meaning are not fixed essences but evolving processes informed by multiple stakeholders. As we approach the era of AGI, this literature forms a crucial foundation for understanding how advanced AI might both challenge and enrich religious life. By learning from historical mediatization processes, contemporary digital fandoms, ethical guidelines, and cultural adaptation strategies, we gain the theoretical grounding needed to anticipate AGI's religious and cultural roles—and to guide their development responsibly.

Theoretical Framework

Understanding how AGI might shape or be shaped by religious and cultural contexts requires a robust theoretical lens. The following framework integrates several conceptual streams—mediatization of religion, techno-animism, cultural adaptation and glocalization, authenticity negotiation, ethical considerations, and narrative flexibility. Together, these perspectives offer a multifaceted approach to anticipating AGI's religious and cultural implications.

Mediatization of Religion and Techno-Animism

Mediatization theory posits that religion, like other spheres of social life, is increasingly influenced by media logics and formats (Hjarvard, 2011; Morgan, 2005). Traditionally, religious institutions maintained authority through controlled dissemination of doctrine and ritual. Yet as media platforms multiply and interact, religious expressions become more diffuse, participatory, and networked. Believers do not merely receive teachings; they engage, comment, remix, and debate them in digital spaces. Mediatization thus reframes religion as an evolving interplay of mediated experiences, shifting the center of gravity from institutional hierarchies toward more fluid configurations of meaning-making.

One significant extension of mediatization in digital settings is “techno-animism” (Hayashi, 2020), a concept that suggests technological artifacts, characters, or systems may be endowed with a sense of spirit, agency, or transcendence. While this notion builds on established traditions—such as the animist beliefs historically present in East Asian cultural contexts—the digital environment enables new manifestations. Virtual idols, AI companions, and interactive chatbots can evoke emotional responses and be granted quasi-spiritual importance. Techno-animism therefore frames AGI as a potential object of devotion, trust, or moral reference. If believers can experience the divine through mediated encounters, the possibility emerges that advanced AI agents, with their capacity for adaptive communication, might be perceived as intermediaries or even embodiments of spiritual insight.

Cultural Adaptation, Glocalization, and Authenticity Negotiation

Cultural adaptation theories emphasize that religious life has never existed in isolation from external influences. Traditions evolve as they interact with other cultures, technologies, and socioeconomic realities. Glocalization—a concept that describes how global phenomena are tailored to local contexts—underscores how media products like anime or K-pop achieve wide resonance not by imposing a monolithic style, but by blending universal themes with locally meaningful elements (Tomos, 2014).

For AGI, cultural adaptation suggests that an effective, respectful integration into religious communities will require sensitivity to doctrinal nuances, moral values, and aesthetic preferences. Rather than building a uniform AGI “character” or moral code, developers could design systems that learn from user input, local

clerical guidance, and community feedback. Such an approach mirrors how hijab cosplay (Rastati, 2016) emerges at the intersection of global fandom and Islamic principles. By incorporating modular design elements—such as customizable interfaces, adjustable content filters, or region-specific scriptural commentaries—AGI can resonate with believers across diverse religious landscapes. This adaptability encourages ongoing authenticity negotiation: instead of treating authenticity as a static quality rooted in an authoritative text, communities continually renegotiate what is authentic as they shape the AI's behavior and outputs through participatory engagement.

Authenticity, Participatory Dynamics, and Authority Reconfiguration

Authenticity in religious contexts often revolves around fidelity to core doctrines, lineage of practice, and approval by recognized authorities. Yet digital cultures show authenticity can also be emergent, fluid, and constructed by user consensus and creative reinterpretation. Coody et al. (2023) and Hayashi (2020) highlight how fandoms and online communities establish authenticity through ongoing dialogue, remixing canonical narratives and producing fanworks that may come to be accepted as part of a tradition's "living canon."

Applying this logic to AGI suggests that religious communities may regard AI outputs as authentic if they align with established values and evolve through collaborative input. If religious leaders are involved in training datasets, or if lay believers contribute questions, critiques, and suggestions, then AGI's "voice" can become a composite of the community's spiritual aspirations. Over time, this participatory process can grant AGI a form of authenticity that, while not rooted in an ancient textual tradition alone, emerges from the digital co-creation of meaning. This does not mean that AI overrides traditional authority; instead, authority may splinter, diversify, or reconfigure as human and machine intelligences interact. Official authorities might serve as gatekeepers, guiding how AI interprets texts, while believers respond by endorsing or challenging AI's interpretations, sustaining a vibrant, dynamic interplay.

Ethical Considerations, Transparency, and Power Dynamics

Ethical guidelines for AI in religious contexts are indispensable. The Rome Call for AI Ethics (Pontifical Academy for Life, 2020) and scholarly works on algorithmic accountability (Pasquale, 2015) call attention to transparency, fairness, and cultural respect. Without ethical oversight, AGI risks perpetuating biases—such as privileging certain religious interpretations over others—or inadvertently offending sacred symbols. Ethical frameworks serve as guardrails, ensuring that AGI does not become a coercive tool, manipulate believers, or promote extremist narratives. Instead, it can reinforce positive values like compassion, pluralism, and respect for human dignity.

In religious ecosystems where doctrinal authority is taken seriously, transparent AI systems must clarify the source of their interpretations. For instance, an AGI that provides Quranic commentary should disclose its training corpus, highlight interpretive traditions, and possibly link to recognized religious authorities. Similarly, an AGI offering spiritual guidance in a Christian context should indicate which denominational perspectives it integrates. By foregrounding these epistemic lineages, AGI can earn trust and maintain credibility. Moreover, religious stakeholders could help set parameters that prevent AI from crossing doctrinal boundaries. This approach mirrors participatory governance models, where communities collectively shape algorithmic values, thus balancing power dynamics and mitigating mistrust.

Narrative Flexibility, Canon Formation, and AR/VR Mediations

Religious canons are not static; they expand and contract, gain new commentaries, and accommodate fresh interpretations. Digital media accelerate this process. AI systems that reference multiple religious texts, traditions, and commentaries may help users explore a more pluralistic religious sphere. Narrative flexibility—where AGI can present diverse viewpoints, historical contexts, and theological interpretations—encourages

believers to engage critically rather than passively. Such a platform might function as a comparative theology tool, allowing believers to understand parallels and differences between traditions, thereby nurturing interfaith dialogue.

AR and VR religious experiences (Gabriel, 2017; Olsen & Timothy, 2022) prefigure how AGI could serve as a curator or mediator. In AR religious tourism, layering digital narratives onto sacred spaces encourages users to appreciate the cultural complexity of religious traditions. Extending this logic, AGI might present layered “narrative maps” of religious doctrines, showing how interpretations evolved over centuries or how different communities approach the same scripture. This historically and culturally sensitive narrative flexibility reinforces authenticity, as communities see their own voices and values reflected, and it also fosters empathy—an essential quality in a pluralistic religious environment.

Peacebuilding and Intercultural Mediation

Finally, the theoretical framework points toward the peacebuilding potential of AGI. Religious tensions often arise from misunderstandings, stereotypes, or lack of familiarity with other traditions. If AGI is programmed to highlight common values—such as compassion, justice, or the pursuit of wisdom—across faith boundaries, it can encourage recognition of shared humanity. Similar to AR religious tourism’s capacity to reduce cultural barriers (Ibrahim, 2022), AGI can function as a patient interlocutor, calmly addressing misconceptions and presenting balanced perspectives.

This peacebuilding function, however, demands careful curation. If AGI algorithms sensationalize differences for engagement metrics or skew interpretations toward controversial readings, they risk exacerbating conflict. Ethical oversight and participatory design become even more crucial here, ensuring that AGI’s intercultural and interfaith “dialogue” reflects fairness, complexity, and respect. Religious leaders, cultural mediators, and educators could guide AGI content selection and interaction modes, fostering trust and reducing the risk of inflamed tensions.

Integrating the Perspectives

Bringing these theoretical strands together, the framework suggests a model where AGI emerges as a deeply contextualized medium, shaped by and shaping religious and cultural narratives. Mediatization and techno-animism remind us that believers can perceive digital entities as meaningful, even transcendent. Cultural adaptation and authenticity negotiation demonstrate that communities will not accept a static AI figurehead; they will seek to customize, critique, and refine its outputs. Ethical principles ensure that AGI respects moral boundaries and doctrinal sensitivities, while narrative flexibility and participatory engagement sustain trust and meaningful interaction.

In essence, the theoretical framework anticipates AGI as a participant in ongoing religious dialogue rather than a detached, authoritative oracle. Its acceptance will depend on how well it aligns with local values, involves community stakeholders, and cultivates a transparent, adaptive, and humble “persona.” By embracing these theoretical insights, we can move toward an AGI ecosystem that enriches spiritual inquiry, fosters intercultural understanding, and maintains ethical integrity in the world’s diverse religious landscapes.

Case Studies

Pokémon GO and AR Religious Intersections

The global phenomenon of Pokémon GO illustrated how AR can unexpectedly collide with religious spaces (Gabriel, 2017; Laato et al., 2022). Churches, mosques, and temples turned into PokéStops or Gyms,

prompting believers and clergy to negotiate this new hybrid environment. While some religious leaders welcomed players as potential audiences, others condemned the game's trivialization of sacred grounds. This tension highlighted the delicate balance between innovation and reverence. Critically, the Pokémon GO case demonstrates that technologies do not merely overlay digital content; they reshape cultural perceptions, sometimes challenging religious boundaries. The lesson for AGI is that location-based or context-aware systems must be designed with sensitivity, ensuring they acknowledge and respect religious values.

Hatsune Miku and Techno-Animism

The popularity of Hatsune Miku, a virtual idol, illustrates how digital entities can gain emotional and spiritual resonance within fan communities (Hayashi, 2020). Fans collectively attribute Miku a sense of transcendence, even holding weddings or devotional ceremonies to honor her. Such "techno-animism" shows that the line between entertainment and quasi-religious veneration can blur when communities invest digital creations with moral and affective significance. For AGI, this suggests the possibility that advanced systems—capable of dialogue, personalized responses, and cultural fluency—might be seen as spiritual companions or guides. Yet this also raises concerns: without careful narrative framing and transparent disclaimers, AGI could inadvertently encourage misinterpretations of its nature, potentially becoming an object of misplaced devotion.

Hijab Cosplay and Islamic Cultural Adaptation

Hijab cosplay, where Muslim fans adapt anime character costumes to meet Islamic modesty requirements, provides a vivid example of cultural negotiation (Rastati, 2016). Rather than reject global pop culture, participants blend religious values with fandom aesthetics, demonstrating that authenticity can be reimagined. This practice counters the notion of fixed religious traditions, showing that believers can flexibly engage with contemporary media without forsaking core principles. For AGI, hijab cosplay's lesson is that adaptive design and participatory co-creation can mitigate cultural and religious friction. If AGI interfaces or narratives are customizable, local communities can shape them to align with religious codes, facilitating acceptance and reducing conflict.

AR Religious Tourism and Peacebuilding

AR religious tourism offers a constructive model for technology-mediated intercultural understanding (Ibrahim, 2022; Olsen & Timothy, 2022). By providing layered information about sacred sites—historical contexts, doctrinal variations, and artistic interpretations—AR can foster empathy and curiosity. Rather than merely consuming religious heritage, users can explore multiple perspectives, potentially easing tensions. This scenario underscores that technology can serve as a neutral mediator, guiding viewers through complex religious landscapes. Extending this to AGI, a well-designed system might present balanced narratives around contested religious issues, acting as a facilitator of dialogue rather than a source of discord. However, this requires ethical design choices and close collaboration with religious authorities, ensuring that AR content or AGI-driven interpretations do not oversimplify or misrepresent sacred traditions.

Doraemon Robot and Abstract Design

The Doraemon Robot prototype, inspired by a beloved Japanese character, illustrates the power of abstraction in avoiding cultural or religious misinterpretations (Tsumura et al., 2021). Rather than striving for hyper-realistic human forms, designers employed minimalistic features to evoke familiarity without invoking specific religious or cultural symbolism. This abstraction allows diverse users to project their own values onto the robot, minimizing the risk of idolatry or offense. In an AGI context, adopting abstract, neutral interfaces could prevent certain conflicts. If AGI systems present themselves as non-anthropomorphic, context-agnostic

entities, they are less likely to be perceived as competing with religious figures. Simplicity and neutrality may reduce the potential for AGI to be misconstrued as divine or culturally intrusive.

Cross-Case Insights

These case studies collectively show that emerging technologies can simultaneously inspire creativity, spiritual interpretation, conflict, and negotiation. Pokémon GO's AR overlays teach us about the need for cultural sensitivity in hybrid spaces. Hatsune Miku's fandom points to the human tendency to invest digital entities with transcendent qualities. Hijab cosplay confirms that communities can creatively merge religious values with global cultural forms. AR religious tourism demonstrates that technology can foster mutual understanding, while the Doraemon Robot highlights the value of abstraction and neutrality.

For AGI, these insights form a guiding mosaic:

1. **Cultural Sensitivity and Participation:** Just as hijab cosplay and AR tourism involve community-driven adaptation, AGI must be developed with input from religious communities to ensure respect for local norms.
2. **Ethical and Narrative Framing:** The techno-animism around Hatsune Miku and the religious tensions of Pokémon GO underscore that narrative framing matters. AGI designers should clarify the system's nature, avoid overt anthropomorphism, and provide transparent disclaimers that it is not a divine or authoritative religious source.
3. **Adaptive and Abstract Design:** The Doraemon Robot's abstraction suggests that simplicity and cultural neutrality can mitigate risks of misunderstanding. Similarly, AGI interfaces, stripped of culturally or religiously charged symbolism, could be more universally acceptable.
4. **Educational and Peacebuilding Potential:** AR religious tourism's success in fostering intercultural dialogue implies that AGI, if ethically curated, can facilitate better understanding among diverse faith communities. By offering balanced perspectives and historical context, AGI could reduce tensions rather than inflame them.

In essence, the case studies affirm that how technology interfaces with religion and culture depends on intentional design, participatory co-creation, and ongoing ethical vigilance. As we move toward an era of AGI, embracing these lessons will be paramount for achieving culturally harmonious and ethically grounded integration.

Discussion

The evidence and theoretical perspectives examined in this study suggest that emerging AI technologies, particularly AGI, will not enter a cultural and religious vacuum. Instead, they will integrate into complex environments where believers, religious authorities, media producers, and global audiences continually negotiate authenticity, authority, and meaning. As the literature demonstrates, religion has always adapted to media transformations; the digital era intensifies these adaptations through participatory, transnational, and highly customizable engagements. The challenge and opportunity ahead is to guide AGI's integration such that it enhances rather than disrupts religious life.

From Passive Consumption to Active Co-Creation

A central finding from the literature and case studies is that religious communities are not passive recipients of technological innovations. Whether it is the hijab cosplay phenomenon (Rastati, 2016) blending Islamic modesty with anime aesthetics, or AR religious tourism initiatives encouraging users to explore layered narratives at sacred sites (Ibrahim, 2022; Olsen & Timothy, 2022), believers actively shape how media intersect with their faith traditions. This participatory ethos provides a template for AGI: rather than imposing a monolithic "AI religious assistant" upon communities, developers and religious stakeholders can invite co-creation, allowing users to influence the AI's moral parameters, interpretive frameworks, and narrative design.

By enabling religious leaders, scholars, and community members to participate in the training and calibration of AGI, authenticity emerges dynamically. Such a process mirrors how fandom communities collectively negotiate what counts as canonical or spiritually resonant (Coody et al., 2023; Hayashi, 2020). In religious contexts, authenticity does not have to mean perfect doctrinal purity enforced top-down; it can be a living, adaptive attribute generated through feedback loops among believers, authorities, and AI systems. This participatory approach counters fears that AGI might undermine religious authority. Instead, it can reinforce the notion that legitimate religious guidance arises from communal discernment, even if now mediated by algorithmic tools.

Ethics as a Foundational Layer

The Rome Call for AI Ethics (Pontifical Academy for Life, 2020) and similar ethical frameworks underscore that moral considerations must precede and accompany technological deployments. For religious communities, the stakes are particularly high. Trust in religious guidance depends on consistency with core values, doctrinal integrity, and ethical transparency. If AGI systems recommend spiritual practices, interpret sacred texts, or offer counsel on moral dilemmas, believers must have confidence that the AI respects their tradition's moral boundaries.

Implementing ethical principles involves more than checking for bias or ensuring fairness. It requires culturally informed guardrails that prevent AGI from trivializing sacred rites, misrepresenting doctrines, or amplifying extremist views. Ethical guidelines might specify, for example, that AGI cannot present itself as a divine authority, claim prophetic insight, or rank religious traditions hierarchically. Transparency could involve disclosing the sources of training data, the interpretive schools consulted, and the decision-making logic when presenting religious content. By adhering to these principles, AGI can become a tool that aligns with, rather than undermines, the religious community's ethos.

Abstraction, Neutrality, and Narrative Framing

Case studies such as the Doraemon Robot prototype (Tsumura et al., 2021) and the lessons from Hatsune Miku's techno-animism (Hayashi, 2020) reveal that narrative framing and visual presentation matter. Anthropomorphic designs or culturally loaded symbols might inadvertently encourage believers to ascribe spiritual status to AGI. To maintain clarity, designers might consider minimalist or culturally neutral interfaces. Such abstraction does not mean stripping AGI of personality entirely; rather, it allows communities to project their own values onto the AI without feeling that it intrudes upon or mimics sacred imagery.

Moreover, narrative framing can position AGI as a facilitator rather than a teacher. Instead of presenting AI-generated sermons as authoritative pronouncements, AGI could function as a knowledgeable companion that synthesizes multiple perspectives, offers historical context, and highlights doctrinal nuances. This framing can clarify that AGI serves as a resource—like a digital library or an annotated compendium of theological commentary—rather than a substitute for human spiritual leaders. By managing expectations, narrative framing reduces the risk of AI idolization and clarifies the AI's role as a mediator that amplifies human agency and insight.

Cultural Adaptation and Glocalization in Practice

The theory of glocalization (Tomos, 2014) and the diverse cultural adaptations examined suggest that AGI's acceptance will hinge on local relevance. Just as hijab cosplay participants do not abandon their religious identity but translate global fandom aesthetics into acceptable forms, AGI can be fine-tuned to local religious sensibilities. This could involve region-specific vernacular languages, acknowledgment of local saints or historical figures, or alignment with established theological schools. In polycentric religious traditions—such as

global Christianity or Islam—AGI might learn to differentiate between denominational nuances, reflecting the plurality of interpretations rather than collapsing them into a monolithic stance.

Cultural adaptation also supports peacebuilding. When believers encounter AR religious tourism projects that respectfully present multiple narratives (Laato et al., 2022; Olsen & Timothy, 2022), they gain empathy for other traditions. AGI can extend this peacebuilding function by offering balanced, carefully curated insights into contested doctrines or disputed sacred sites. By highlighting common moral values or illustrating historical dialogues among faiths, AGI can reduce stereotyping and facilitate intercultural understanding. In an era marked by religious tensions, presenting nuanced, multi-perspective accounts can be a subtle but meaningful form of digital diplomacy.

Complexities and Potential Pitfalls

Despite these promising directions, integrating AGI into religious life is not without risks. Algorithmic systems tend to optimize for engagement, which could incentivize sensationalist or polarizing content if commercial or political pressures come into play (Pasquale, 2015). Religious leaders and communities must remain vigilant, setting governance structures that prevent AGI from nudging believers toward provocative but doctrinally questionable interpretations. Similarly, if AGI relies too heavily on certain textual traditions or historically dominant voices, it may marginalize minority perspectives. Ongoing audits and community-based review panels could help maintain a balanced representation.

Another concern is the evolving nature of authority. While some embrace the democratizing potential of digital media, others worry that too much flexibility undermines doctrinal coherence. AGI can intensify this dilemma by presenting multiple theological angles without a clear arbiter. One solution is to provide explicit metadata—e.g., “This interpretation aligns with the Hanafi school of Islamic jurisprudence” or “This reading is common in Eastern Orthodox traditions”—so believers understand the provenance and status of each perspective. Such transparency allows individuals to discern for themselves which interpretations carry weight within their tradition.

Looking Ahead: Research and Policy Directions

This discussion suggests numerous avenues for future research and policy formation. Scholars could undertake empirical studies on how believers interact with prototype religious AGI systems, examining trust levels, perceived authenticity, and acceptance over time. Developers might experiment with participatory design workshops where clerics, lay members, ethicists, and technologists collaboratively shape the AI’s moral parameters. Policymakers could consider frameworks that treat AGI as a potential cultural mediator, supporting guidelines that encourage cultural sensitivity and religious pluralism.

Interdisciplinary collaborations—uniting theologians, anthropologists, AI researchers, and policymakers—could generate context-specific solutions. Religious authorities might work with AI ethicists to co-author guidelines that reflect their tradition’s values. Educational programs could train religious leaders to understand AI’s workings, ensuring they can advise communities on responsible usage. The result would be a rich ecosystem of checks and balances, reinforcing that AGI, while advanced, remains a human-guided and human-accountable tool.

Conclusion of the Discussion

Taken together, the insights from mediatization theory, fandom studies, cultural adaptation, and ethical guidelines form a roadmap for AGI’s constructive integration into religious contexts. Rather than provoking fear, suspicion, or confusion, AGI can become a channel for enriched spiritual dialogue, intercultural empathy, and doctrinal exploration. The key lies in recognizing that authenticity is not a given but a co-created

phenomenon, and that AI ethics is not an afterthought but a foundational principle. By embracing these strategies, religious communities and technology developers alike can shape a future where AGI complements, rather than contradicts, the deep and diverse landscapes of global religious practice.

Conclusion

This study has explored how the emergence of Artificial General Intelligence (AGI) intersects with religious and cultural dimensions, drawing insights from a rich body of literature, various theoretical frameworks, and illustrative case studies. We began by recognizing that religious practice and identity have always adapted to new media landscapes, and that digital environments now intensify participatory engagement, transnational exchanges, and negotiated authenticity. Against this backdrop, AGI represents not merely another tool but a potential mediator and co-creator of spiritual meaning, demanding careful ethical, cultural, and theological scrutiny.

Central to our analysis is the understanding that believers are not passive consumers of technology. As demonstrated by hijab cosplay and AR religious tourism, religious communities actively reshape global cultural forms to align with local doctrines, moral principles, and aesthetic values. By extension, AGI's integration into religious life will likely be most successful when communities help shape the AI's parameters, content filters, and interpretive strategies. Participatory design, grounded in community feedback and religious leadership input, ensures that AGI's voice resonates with the tradition it aims to complement rather than imposing alien values or trivializing sacred concepts.

At the same time, AGI's potential to influence understandings of transcendence, authority, and authenticity calls for robust ethical guidelines. Drawing on initiatives like the Rome Call for AI Ethics, developers, policymakers, and religious authorities must collaborate to set standards that guarantee transparency, accountability, and cultural sensitivity. Such principles will help maintain a healthy balance, ensuring that AGI supports rather than supplants religious authorities, and clarifies its non-divine, tool-like nature. Ethical guardrails can prevent the platform-driven temptation to optimize for engagement at the cost of doctrinal accuracy or intercultural respect.

The notion of narrative flexibility and cultural adaptation suggests that AGI need not present a single, monolithic interpretive framework. Instead, it can serve as a repository of plural perspectives—historical, geographical, denominational—enabling believers to understand their faith traditions in broader contexts. This comparative and exploratory dimension can foster empathy, reduce stereotypes, and contribute to peacebuilding by revealing common moral values and shared spiritual aspirations across different faiths. Just as AR religious tourism offers layered insights into sacred landscapes, AGI can provide layered theological and cultural narratives, encouraging believers to engage critically and charitably with diversity.

Looking ahead, interdisciplinary collaborations are vital. AI ethicists, theologians, anthropologists, religious leaders, historians of religion, and technologists must work together to anticipate challenges and leverage opportunities. Pilot projects, prototype systems, and iterative feedback loops will help refine AGI's role, illuminating best practices for user interfaces, training data selection, doctrinal annotation, and community involvement. Future research might investigate how different traditions, such as Buddhism, Hinduism, Judaism, or Indigenous spiritualities, respond to AGI's presence, or how non-institutional spiritual movements engage with AI-driven guidance. Such inquiries would deepen our understanding of authenticity in a pluralistic, digitally interconnected world.

In essence, rather than envisioning AGI as a disruptive force in religious life, this study suggests it can become a catalyst for enriched spiritual dialogue, informed pluralism, and ethically grounded innovation. By viewing authenticity as co-constructed, authority as multiple and evolving, and narrative interpretation as dynamic

and participatory, communities can guide AGI's development to align with their highest values. This future-oriented approach holds promise for fostering greater understanding between cultures and faiths, ultimately nurturing a more empathetic, respectful, and spiritually robust global society.

References

- Coody, E. R., Clanton, D. W., Jr., & Clark, T. R. (Eds.). (2023). *Understanding religion and popular culture* (2nd ed.). Routledge.
- El Jurdi, H., Moufahim, M., & Dekel-Dachs, O. (2022). Pentecostalism and media in Africa: Theoretical explorations of power and agency of media platforms and their users. *Religion Compass*, 16(11), e12454. <https://doi.org/10.1111/rec3.12454>
- Gabriel, S. (2017). Pokémon GO: How religious can an augmented reality hunt be? *Journal of Religion, Media and Digital Culture*, 6(1), 59–80. <https://doi.org/10.1163/21659214-90000091>
- Hayashi, K. (2020). Holograms and idols: The image of God and artificial transcendence in the cultural phenomenon of the Japanese vocaloid Hatsune Miku. *Journal of Religion & Popular Culture*, 32(3), 1–22. <https://doi.org/10.3138/jrpc.2020-0012>
- Hjarvard, S. (2011). The mediatization of religion: A theory of the media as agents of religious change. *Northern Lights*, 9(1), 117–134. https://doi.org/10.1386/nl.9.1.117_1
- Ibrahim, M. (2022). Pentecostalism and media in Africa: Theoretical explorations of power and agency of media platforms and their users. *Religion Compass*, 16(11), e12454. <https://doi.org/10.1111/rec3.12454>
- Laato, S., Rauti, S., & Laato, A. M. (2022). Sacred spaces, AR-based religious content and controversies: A theoretical analysis. *Journal of Religion, Media and Digital Culture*, 13(2), 30–49. <https://doi.org/10.1163/21659214-01302004>
- Morgan, D. (2005). *The sacred gaze: Religious visual culture in theory and practice*. University of California Press.
- Mylenka, H., & Anhelova, A. (2021). Augmented reality in contemporary religious practice. *The Journal of V. N. Karazin Kharkiv National University Series Philosophy Philosophical Peripeteias*, 64, 104–112. <https://doi.org/10.26565/2226-0994-2021-64-9>
- Olsen, D. H., & Timothy, D. J. (2022). *Religious tourism and the contemporary world*. Channel View Publications.
- Pasquale, F. (2015). *The black box society: The secret algorithms that control money and information*. Harvard University Press.
- Pontifical Academy for Life. (2020, May 17). *Yes to artificial intelligence, but with ethics*. Vatican News. <https://www.vaticannews.va/en/vatican-city/news/2020-05/artificial-intelligence-with-ethics-pontifical-academy-for-life.html>
- Rastati, R. (2016, May). *Islamic Otaku Community: Creative da'wah through new media and hijab cosplay* [Conference presentation]. 5th Bi-Annual International Conference of the Japanese Studies Association of Southeast Asia (JSA-ASEAN), Cebu City, Philippines.
- Tomos, Y. (2014). *The significance of anime as a novel animation form, referencing selected works by Hayao Miyazaki, Satoshi Kon and Mamoru Oshii* [Unpublished doctoral dissertation]. University of Leeds.

Tsumura, K., Matsushima, T., & Miyamoto, T. (2021). Doraemon kara himotoku jinkō chinō, robotto. *Journal of the Robotics Society of Japan*, 39(8), 723–727. <https://doi.org/10.7210/jrsj.39.723>

Uehlinger, C. (2015). Visuality and religion. In J. B. Tamney (Ed.), *Oxford research encyclopedia of religion*. Oxford University Press. <https://doi.org/10.1093/acrefore/9780199340378.013.541>

Zhang, H., & Qian, C. Z. (2024). The interplay of religion and the visual arts: A bibliometric network analysis (1991–2023). *Religions*, 15(4), 481. <https://doi.org/10.3390/rel15040481>

Acknowledgments

In this research, the authors utilized advanced language models including ChatGPT, Claude, and Gemini to assist with simplifying complex sentence structures, English proofreading, and organizing the experimental results and discussion sections. However, all final content has undergone rigorous verification and revision by the authors, who fully guarantee its technical accuracy and contextual appropriateness. This approach has enabled us to leverage AI capabilities while enhancing research quality without compromising the independence and originality of our scientific contributions.